

# Adaptive-Dynamic Gravitational Field of the Solar System Consistent with Conservation Laws and Physical Reality

Pavlo Danylchenko\*

Research and Production Enterprise "GeoSystem", Vinnytsia, Ukraine

(Received 29 June 2026; accepted 00 July 2026; published 00 July 2026)

The correspondence to the Solar System of not the static Newtonian gravitational field, but the dynamic gravitational field, which is also formed by the motion of the planets and, therefore, adapts to changes in the location of the planets in it, is substantiated. It is because of this that gravitational pseudo-forces, like centrifugal pseudo-forces of inertia, are orthogonal at all points to the quasicircular (pseudo-elliptical) orbits of the planets.. The correspondence of the trajectories of the planets' motion to the laws of conservation of angular momentum and energies (the Newtonian of inert free rest energy and the Keplerian of ordinary rest energy of matter) is proven. The inconsistency of elliptical orbits with the law of conservation of angular momentum is substantiated. Spatial distributions of potentials and strength of the adaptive-dynamic gravitational field along the quasicircular trajectories of the planets' motion, which correspond both to the laws of conservation and to the results of astronomical observations, are obtained.

Keywords: Theory of Relativity, Newtonian, Keplerian, adaptive-dynamic gravitational field, Solar System.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The formulations of the laws of classical physics are very simplified and too straightforward. After all, they, as a rule, do not take into account the presence of negative feedbacks between the physical parameters of matter. But it is precisely these negative feedbacks that ensure the stability of natural formations.

Such simplification was also not avoided in the formulation of the differential equations of the gravitational field of the General Relativity (GR). Therefore, these equations should be taken only as a basis and creatively reinterpreted and supplemented with additional dependencies. After all, the GR equations themselves do not directly take into account the conservation of angular momentum and the corresponding effects of the motion of matter on the gravitational field due to the presence of negative or positive feedbacks. Einstein himself compared the metric tensor of these equations with good-quality marble, and the energy-momentum tensor only with low-quality wood [1]. And indeed, as it turned out much later, the use of ordinary Lorentz transformations of increments of spatial coordinates and time (OLT) in these equations came into conflict with the relativistic and gravitational invariance of thermodynamic parameters and potentials of matter [2-4].

Thus, the equations of the GR did not ensure the absence of the influence of the external

gravitational field and motion, both on the of the change in the value of the gravitational thermodynamic state of matter and on the rate of flow of its proper time. After all, the compensation parameter  $b$  (the Schwarzschild solution of the GR gravitational field equations [5]) by the motion of matter by inertia ( $b_{cj} = b_i + {}^i v_j^2 c^{-2} = b_{0j} = \text{const}(r, t)$ ) ensures the correspondence to reality of the analogous equations of relativistic gravithermodynamics (RGTD, which is only an improved version of the GR [2-4]). Only according to them, the strength of the gravitational field is directly equal at point  $i$  to the gravitational acceleration of the common velocity  ${}^i v_j = d{}^i \vec{r}_j / dt$  of motion of all substances  $m$ , which may have unequal values of their hidden thermodynamic parameters  ${}^m b_{0j} = {}^m b_{00} {}^m T_{00}^2 / {}^m T_j^2$  dependent on the absolute temperature  $T$  [2-4,6,7]:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^i \hat{g}_j &= -b_i c^2 \frac{d \ln b_i}{2 d \vec{r}_i} = -c^2 \frac{d(b_{cj} - {}^i v_j^2 c^{-2})}{2 d \vec{r}_i} = {}^i v_j \frac{d {}^i v_j}{d \vec{r}_i} = \frac{d {}^i v_j}{dt} \\ {}^i \hat{g}_j &= -c^2 \frac{d(\ln b_{cj})_v}{2 d \vec{r}_i} = -c^2 \frac{d[\ln(b_i + {}^i v_j^2)]_v}{2 d \vec{r}_i} = \\ &= -c^2 \frac{d(b_{cj} - {}^i v_j^2 c^{-2})}{2 b_{cj} d \vec{r}_i} = \frac{{}^i v_j}{b_{cj}} \frac{d {}^i v_j}{d \vec{r}_i} = \frac{G}{G_{00}} \frac{d {}^i v_j}{dt}, \end{aligned}$$

where:  ${}^i \hat{g}_j$  and  ${}^i \hat{g}_j$  are gravitational field strength at point  $i$  by the observer's clock and by a hypothetically stationary clock at point  $i$ , respectively,  $G = G_{00} / b_{cj}$  and  $G_{00}$  are true and standard values of the gravitational constant, respectively.

---

\*Contact author: pavlo@vingeo.com.

Therefore, the gravitational field really determines only the velocity of motion and spatial gradients, and not at all the values of unequal hidden parameters  ${}^mb_{0j}$  in different substances  $m$ , which were previously at rest at point  $j$ , and are now moving together at point  $i$ . And thus, each substance can have its own spatial distribution of the hidden gravithermodynamic parameter  ${}^mb = {}^mv_l^2 c^{-2}$ , which is equivalent not only to the absolute temperature  $T$ , but also to the limit velocity  $v_l$  of individual (separate) motion of a certain substance used in the RGTD (alternative to the coordinate pseudo-vacuum velocity of light of the GR [2-6]) [7].

Based on the use of the identity of the gravitational mass  $m_{gr} = m_{00} / \sqrt{b_c}$  of matter to the inertial mass  $m_{inr} = m_{00} \sqrt{b_c}$  of matter only according to its intrinsic clock in the RGTD, a canonical differential equation of the dynamic gravitational field was obtained for a non-solid matter, which does not contain the spatial distribution of the mass density of the matter. Due to the definition of the spatial distribution of the local value of the gravitational radius by this equation, on the contrary, it allows to obtain the required spatial distribution of the mass density of the matter [8,9]:

$$\begin{aligned} S' &= \frac{d[r/a(1-b_c)]}{dr} = \frac{1-r'_g - \Lambda r^2}{(1-b_c)} + \\ &+ \frac{(r-r_g - \Lambda r^3/3)}{(1-b_c)^2} b' = -\frac{b_c S}{r(1-b_c)} + \frac{(1-\Lambda r^2)}{(1-b_c)^2}, \\ S &= \frac{r}{a_c(1-v^2 c^{-2}/b_c)(1-b_c)} = \frac{r-r_g - \Lambda r^3/3}{1-b_c} = \\ &= \frac{r}{a(1-b_c)} = \exp \int \frac{-b_c dr}{(1-b_c)r} \times \int \left[ \frac{(1-\Lambda r^2)}{(1-b_c)^2} \exp \int \frac{b_c dr}{(1-b_c)r} \right] dr, \end{aligned}$$

where the parameter  $S$  can be abstractly considered as the distance from the pseudo-horizon of the infinitely distant cosmological past and the parameters  $a_c = (d\hat{r}/dr)^2 = ab_c/b = a(b+v^2 c^{-2})/b = a/(1-v^2 c^{-2}/b_0)$  and  $b_c = v_{lc}^2 c^{-2} = b + v^2 c^{-2} = b(1+v^2 v_l^{-2}) \equiv b_0 = \text{const}(t)$  are analogues of the parameters  $a = 1/(1-r_g/r - \Lambda r^2/3)$  and  $b$  of the Schwarzschild solution of the equations of the static gravitational field of the GR,  $v$  is the velocity of the

hypothetical circular or real quasicircular (pseudo-elliptical) orbital motion of the planets of the Solar System,  $r_g(r)$  is the radial value of the gravitational radius of the dynamic gravitational field of the Solar System,  $\Lambda = 3H_E^2 c^{-2}$  is the cosmological constant,  $H_E$  is the Hubble constant,  $c$  is the constant of the velocity of light.

This is what allows us to form the proper spatial distribution of the parameter  $b_c(r) = v_{lc}^2 c^{-2} = b + v^2 c^{-2}$  of the adaptive-dynamic gravitational field along the orbits of the planets of the Solar System. That is, it allows us to obtain exactly such a distribution of this parameter that would correspond to the conservation of not only the Keplerian of ordinary rest energy of the planet, but also the conservation of the angular momentum of the planet in the process of its orbital motion. The parameter  $a$  of the gravitational field can be obtained by solving the canonical differential equation of the dynamic gravitational field based on the formed parameter  $b_c$  (similar to its obtaining for a flat galaxy [8,9]).

## II. PARAMETERS OF THE MOTION OF PLANETS THAT ARE CONSISTENT WITH THE LAWS OF CONSERVATION OF ENERGY AND ANGULAR MOMENTUM

According to Kepler's laws, which are actually based on Newton's theory of gravity, it is not the Hamiltonians and the Lagrangians that are conserved (due to  $v_{lc}^2 = v_l^2 + v^2 = \text{const}(t, r)$ ) in the process of planetary motion, but the Newtonians of inert free rest energy:

$$\begin{aligned} N &= E_0 v_{lc} / c = m_{00} c v_{lc} = m_{00} c \sqrt{v_l^2 + v^2} \approx \\ &\approx m_{00} c^2 \sqrt{1-r_g/(r_1+r_2)} = \text{const}(t, r) \end{aligned}$$

and the Keplerians of ordinary rest energy:

$$\begin{aligned} K &= W_0 c / v_{lc} = m_{00} c^3 / v_{lc} = m_{00} c^3 / \sqrt{v_l^2 + v^2} \approx \\ &\approx m_{00} c^2 / \sqrt{1-r_g/(r_1+r_2)} = \text{const}(t, r) \end{aligned}$$

of the planetary matter [8-12]. Here  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are the radii of the planet's orbit at aphelion and perihelion, respectively, and  $r_g$  is the gravitational radius of the Solar System.

The values of the velocities  $V$  of orbital motion of independent objects of the Solar System at aphelions and perihelions are determined by the initial conditions of their inclusion inside the Solar

System, or even by the conditions of their formation directly inside the Solar System.

Based on the mutual equality of the values of all parameters at aphelion and perihelion of the planet (precisely values of the both Newtonians, values of the both Keplerians, and the values of the both Newtonian angular momenta ( $m_{in} v_2 r_2 = m_{in} v_1 r_1$ ;  $v_2 r_2 = v_1 r_1$  due to  $m_{in} = \text{const}(r, t)$ ):

$b_c = v_{1c}^2 c^{-2} \approx (1 - r_g / r_2) + v_1^2 r_1^2 r_2^{-2} c^{-2} = (1 - r_g / r_2) + v_2^2 c^{-2} \approx (1 - r_g / r_1) + v_1^2 c^{-2}$ , we can find the gravitational radius of the Solar System:

$$r_g \approx v_1^2 c^{-2} r_1 (1 + r_1 / r_2) = v_2^2 c^{-2} r_2 (1 + r_2 / r_1).$$

Table I shows exactly those known approximate values of the orbital parameters and velocities at aphelions of various planets that allowed us to obtain calculated values of the Solar System gravitational radius with the smallest deviation from its most probable actual value.

TABLE I. Parameters of the Solar System.

| Planet  | $r_1$<br>mln.<br>km | $r_2$<br>mln.<br>km | $v_1$<br>km/s | $r_g$<br>km |
|---------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Mercury | 69.82               | 45.90               | 38.85         | 2.96        |
| Venus   | 108.94              | 107.48              | 34.78         | 2.95        |
| Earth   | 152.09              | 147.10              | 29.29         | 2.95        |
| Mars    | 249.23              | 206.60              | 21.98         | 2.96        |
| Jupiter | 816.62              | 740.52              | 12.44         | 2.96        |
| Saturn  | 1505.4              | 1353.6              | 9.10          | 2.93        |
| Uranus  | 3006                | 2740                | 6.50          | 2.96        |
| Neptune | 4537                | 4456                | 5.39          | 2.96        |
| Pluto   | 7375                | 4437                | 3.68          | 2.96        |

This table shows that the calculated values  $r_g$  of the Solar System gravitational radius are almost identical.

And this takes place despite the neglect (in the calculations) of the presence of both a slight evolutionary weakening ( $\Lambda$ -reduction) of centrifugal pseudo-forces of inertia, and the influence of planets on each other. And this confirms not only the correspondence of the Newtonians and the Keplerians to these planets, but also the absence of relativistic time dilation in them.

Table II shows the calculated values of the planetary parameters for the orbital radii of the planets indicated in Table I and for the

gravitational radius of the Solar System  $r_g = 2.96$  km.

TABLE II. Theoretical parameters of planets.

| Planet  | $v_1$<br>km/s | $v_2$<br>km/s | $\eta$ | $(1 - b_c)$<br>$\times 10^{10}$ |
|---------|---------------|---------------|--------|---------------------------------|
| Mercury | 38.88         | 59.14         | 0.2067 | 255.9                           |
| Venus   | 34.83         | 35.30         | 0.0067 | 136.7                           |
| Earth   | 29.33         | 30.32         | 0.0167 | 98.92                           |
| Mars    | 22.00         | 26.54         | 0.0935 | 64.92                           |
| Jupiter | 12.45         | 13.73         | 0.0489 | 19.00                           |
| Saturn  | 9.15          | 10.18         | 0.0531 | 10.35                           |
| Uranus  | 6.50          | 7.13          | 0.0463 | 5.15                            |
| Neptune | 5.39          | 5.49          | 0.0091 | 3.29                            |
| Pluto   | 3.68          | 6.12          | 0.2487 | 2.51                            |

By clarifying both the value of the gravitational radius of the Sun and the values of the radii of the planets at aphelion and perihelion, it is possible to obtain corresponding to them more accurate values of the velocities of the planets.

Gravitational pseudo-forces are clearly compensated in the adaptive-dynamic gravitational field of the Solar System by centrifugal pseudo-forces of inertia [8,9]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{gr} = m_{0gr} \hat{g} &= -\frac{m_{0in} c^2}{2} \frac{d \ln b}{d \rho} = -\frac{m_{0in} c^2}{2b} \times \\ &\times \frac{d(b_c - v^2 c^{-2})}{d \rho} = -\frac{m_{0in} c^2}{2b} \frac{d(b_c - v_1^2 c^{-2} \rho_1^2 \rho^{-2})}{d \rho} = \\ &= -\frac{m_{0in} v_1^2 \rho_1^2}{b \rho^3} = -\frac{m_{0in} v^2}{b \rho} = -\mathbf{F}_{in}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where: } \hat{g} = -\frac{L^2}{m_{in}^2 \rho^3} = -\frac{v_1^2 \rho_1^2}{\rho^3} = \frac{c^2 r_g}{2 r_0 \sqrt{r_1 r_2}} \left( \frac{2 r_0}{r} - 1 \right)^{3/2};$$

$$b = 1 - \frac{r_g}{r} = 1 - \frac{r_g}{r_1 + r_2} - \frac{r_1 r_2 r_g}{(r_1 + r_2) \rho^2};$$

$L = m_{in} v \rho = \text{const}(r, t)$  is angular momentum;  $\rho^2 = r_1 r_2 r / (r_1 + r_2 - r)$  is the square of the radius of curvature of the planet's orbit ( $\rho_1 \equiv r_1$ ,  $\rho_2 \equiv r_2$ );  $r_0 = (r_1 + r_2) / 2$  corresponds to the maximum value of the planet's angular pseudo-momentum  $v r$ , which is equal to the angular momentum of the planet in the case of its circular rotation ( $v^2 r^2 - v_0^2 r_0^2 = -c^2 r_g (r - r_0)^2 / 2 r_0 \leq 0$ ;

$$v_0^2 r_0^2 = c^2 r_g (r_1 + r_2) / 4 > c^2 r_g r_1 r_2 / (r_1 + r_2) = v_1^2 r_1^2).$$

At the same time, since:

$$\begin{aligned}
b_c &= \frac{v_{lc}^2}{c^2} = b + \frac{v^2}{c^2} = 1 - \frac{r_g}{r} + \frac{v^2}{c^2} = \\
&= 1 - \frac{r_1 r_2 r_g}{2r_0 \rho^2} - \frac{r_g}{2r_0} + \frac{v^2}{c^2} = 1 - \frac{r_g}{2r_0}, \\
b_c &= b_{as} + \eta = 1 - \frac{r_{gas}}{r} + \left( \frac{v^2}{c^2} + \frac{r_{gas} - r_g}{r} \right) = \\
&= 1 - \frac{r_g}{r} \exp \left[ \frac{r}{r_0} - \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right) \right] + \eta = \mathbf{const}(t, r),
\end{aligned}$$

according to both the adaptive-dynamic gravitational field and the antistatic formalism, the squares of the true velocities of the planets:

$$\begin{aligned}
v^2 &= \frac{c^2 b \rho}{2} \frac{d \ln b}{d \rho} = \frac{c^2 \rho}{2} \frac{d(1 - r_1 r_2 r_g \rho^{-2} / 2r_0)}{d \rho} = \\
&= \frac{c^2 r_1 r_2 r_g}{2r_0 \rho^2} = c^2 r_g \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r_1 + r_2} \right), \\
v^2 &= \frac{c^2 b_{as} r}{2} \exp \left[ \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right) - \frac{r}{r_0} \right] \frac{d \ln b_{as}}{d \tilde{r}} = \\
&= \frac{c^2 r}{2} \exp \left[ \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right) - \frac{r}{r_0} \right] \frac{d}{d \tilde{r}} \left[ 1 - \frac{r_g}{r} \exp \left[ \frac{r}{r_0} - \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right) \right] \right] = \\
&= c^2 r_g \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2r_0} \right) = c^2 r_g \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r_1 + r_2} \right) = \frac{c^2 r_g r_1 r_2}{(r_1 + r_2) \rho^2}
\end{aligned}$$

significantly differ from their values in a static gravitational field:

$$\begin{aligned}
v_s^2 &= (c^2 r \sqrt{ab} / 2) d \ln b / d \tilde{r} = \\
&= (c^2 / \sqrt{b})(r_{gs} / 2r - \Lambda r^2 / 3) \approx c^2 r_{gs} / 2r,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{where: } r_{gad} &= r_g \left[ \frac{r}{r_0} - \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right) \right] = \frac{2r}{c^2} \exp \left[ \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right) - \frac{r}{r_0} \right] \times \\
&\times \int v^2 \exp \left[ \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right) \right] \frac{dr}{r}
\end{aligned}$$

is the instantaneous value of the gravitational radius of the adaptive-dynamic gravitational field.

The centrifugal forces of inertia determined by them are directed to the local centers of curvature of the trajectory of the planet's motion and, therefore, are orthogonal to it. Therefore, the gravitational pseudo-forces, which are compensated by the centrifugal forces of inertia, are also orthogonal to the trajectory of the planet's motion.

And it is because of this that the lines of force of the adaptive-dynamic gravitational field (in contrast to the static gravitational field) are curved. And this curvature of theirs is related to the rotation of the Sun relative to its axis together with its

spiral-wave structure in the surrounding "empty" space [13-15]. That is why all the planets revolve around the Sun in exactly the same direction as the Sun rotates relative to its axis. Therefore, the planets revolve not in circular, but in quasicircular Kepler orbits precisely because they are dragged by the external spiral-wave structure of the Sun.

Thus, the true Kepler trajectory of the planet, like an ellipse, has two major semiaxes  $a \equiv r_0 = (r_1 + r_2) / 2$  and two minor semiaxes  $b = \sqrt{r_1 r_2}$ . But unlike an ellipse, it is asymmetric along the major axis and has only one focus, which contains the Sun and is the center of curvature of trajectory not only in perihelion, but also in aphelion. In addition, the true Kepler orbit has a multitude of instant local foci (centers of gravity) located on the major axis in the centers of local curvatures of the orbit. It is to these local centers of gravity of the adaptive-dynamic gravitational field that gravitational pseudo-forces are directed, the strength of which is inversely proportional to the cube of the distance  $\rho$  to the corresponding local center of conserved angular momentum  $L = m_{in} v \rho$ .

And therefore, after the planet passes aphelion, its instantaneous centers of gravity begin to gradually migrate from the center of the Solar System to the center of the planet's ecliptic ( $r_{ce} = (r_1 - r_2) / 2$  when  $r_0 = (r_1 + r_2) / 2$  and  $\rho_0 = \sqrt{r_1 r_2}$ ). And then they gradually return to the center of the Solar System and reach it again at perihelion. And such their continuous migration occurs cyclically. The trajectory of the planet's motion in the adaptive-dynamic gravitational field is determined in polar coordinates  $r$  and  $\varphi$  the following differential equation:

$$\frac{r'}{r} = \frac{\sin \varphi (\rho^2 \cos \varphi - r \sqrt{\rho^2 - r^2 \sin^2 \varphi})}{r^2 \sin^2 \varphi - \rho^2 \cos^2 \varphi}.$$

In orthogonal coordinates, the equation of a quasicircular orbit of a planet (an asymmetric oval) has the following form:  $(x_s - e_{sr})^2 + y_s^2 = \rho^2$ , where:  $\rho^2 = r_1 r_2 r / (r_1 + r_2 - r)$ ,  $x_s = r \cos \varphi$ ,

$$y_s = r \sin \varphi, \quad e_{sr} = r \cos \varphi - \sqrt{\rho^2 - r^2 \sin^2 \varphi}.$$

The following differential equation corresponds to it:

$$y'_s = \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{y_s^2} - 1} = \sqrt{\frac{r_1 r_2 \sqrt{x_s^2 + y_s^2}}{y_s^2 (r_1 + r_2 - \sqrt{x_s^2 + y_s^2})} - 1}.$$

### III. CONCLUSION

1. The gravitational field is only a manifestation of the spatial inhomogeneity of the thermodynamic state of matter, and therefore, is not an independent form and a special type of matter. The emergence of the spatial inhomogeneity of the thermodynamic state of matter is not due to gravity, but due to the electromagnetic interaction of micro-objects of matter due to the non-conservation of momentum by quanta of electromagnetic radiation in the process of the origin of this inhomogeneity. Therefore, a hypothetical ideal gas is fundamentally unable to form a spatial inhomogeneity of its thermodynamic state, and, thus, is unable to have a gravitational field [2,3]. And therefore, the search for a special gravitational interaction of micro-objects of matter and attempts to combine it with other types of interactions is as naive as the search for "dark energy" and "non-baryonic dark matter" [9].

2. The gravitational field initiates only the desire of matter to achieve a more stable spatially inhomogeneous thermodynamic state of its own. After all, it only stimulates the motion of matter, without changing its thermodynamic state in the process of the motion. And therefore, the gravitational field determines only the velocities of motion and spatial gradients, and not at all the values of the hidden thermodynamic parameters that are different in different substances [2,3,6]. And this may be associated with the spiral-wave nature of both matter and the Universe as a whole [13-15].

3. But the parameters of the motion of any matter itself, and therefore the planets of the Solar System, are not determined by the gravitational field at all. They are determined by the laws of conservation of the thermodynamic state of matter and the stability of the main parameters of its motion. Namely, they are determined by the laws of conservation of the Newtonian of inert free energy and the Keplerian of ordinary rest energy of matter and the Newtonian angular momentum  $L = m_{in} v \rho = \text{const}(r, t)$  of matter, respectively. After all, the gravitational field strength  $\hat{g} = -L^2 m_{in}^{-2} \rho^{-3} = -g_{00}(2r_0/r - 1)^{3/2}$  is determined differently everywhere. It can be inversely proportional not only to the square of the radial distance or to the distance itself (as in flat galaxies [8,9]), but also to the cube of a radial distance  $\rho$ , which determines the angular momentum

conserved in the process of motion. And it is even fractional (3/2), with respect to the radial distance  $r$  from the center of gravity. At the edge of the Solar System, this is what could have led to the anomalous motion of the "Pioneer spacecrafts" [16-18].

4. The gravitational field of the Solar System is not stable. After all, it is forced to adapt to changes in the spatial arrangement of the planets, thereby guaranteeing the flawless operation of the conservation laws. And therefore, as the planets approach the Sun, its strength increases. And thus, it is the motion of the planets that forms the adaptive-dynamic gravitational field they need, which is actually a consequence of not only the spatially inhomogeneous thermodynamic state of the entire gravithermodynamically bound matter of the Solar System, but also the motion of the planets (and not at all the cause of both the spatial inhomogeneity of the thermodynamic state of the matter of the Solar System and the motions of its planets) [2,3].

5. An elliptical orbit is symmetrical, and therefore, can have only the same radii of curvature of the planet's trajectory at aphelion and perihelion ( $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = b^2/a = b^2/r_0$ ). And this does not ensure the conservation of the planet's angular momentum due to the unequal velocities of its motion at aphelion and perihelion ( $L_1 = m_{in} v_1 \rho_1 \neq L_2 = m_{in} v_2 \rho_2$ ). Planets actually move in quasi-circular orbits ( $\rho_1 \equiv r_1 \neq \rho_2$ ,  $\rho_2 \equiv r_2 \neq b^2/a = r_1 r_2 / r_0$ ), the radius of which regularly decreases with approach to the Sun. And this is what ensures the conservation of the planet's angular momentum ( $L = m_{in} v \rho = \text{const}(r, t)$ ). Therefore, even calling the orbits of planets asymmetric quasi-elliptical would not be entirely correct.

6. More resistant to external influences is not a circular, but a quasicircular orbit, which cyclically narrows (on the opposite side), and then expands while maintaining the location of the center of the circle. Even the Moon rotates in a quasicircular (pseudo-elliptical) orbit due to the influence on it not only of the Earth's gravitational field, but also of the Sun's gravitational field. And this is due to the significantly smaller angular momentum of bodies moving in a quasicircular, rather than a circular, orbit.

7. The curved field lines of the adaptive-dynamic gravitational field of the Solar System are

orthogonal precisely to the quasicircular orbits of its planets.

8. Due to the absence of the influence of both the external gravitational field and the motion on the thermodynamic state of matter, along with the conservation (not related to the absorption of solar radiation) of the thermodynamic state of matter, the rate of flow of the planets' proper time is also conserved. And this is due to the conservation of the Newtonian and Keplerian respectively (instead of the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian), in the process of their inertial motion in the gravitational field [8-12].

9. The forces of evolutionary self-contraction of matter in the frame of reference of spatial coordinates and time comoving with the expanding Universe, which are determined mainly by the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ , are negligibly small in

the Solar System. The hypothesis regarding the compensation of two pseudo-forces (namely of the gravitational pseudo-force and additionally of the almost equivalent pseudo-force of evolutionary self-contraction of another origin) by the centrifugal pseudo-force of inertia has not found reliable substantiated confirmation [8-12]. Therefore, the gravitational field of the Solar System should actually be considered not just dynamic, but adaptive-dynamic.

#### CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

#### FUNDING STATEMENT

The research was carried out without financial support.

- 
- [1] Albert Einstein, Physik und Realität, *Journal of The Franklin Institute: Devoted to Science and the Mechanic Arts*, vol. 221, no. 3, pp. 313-347 (1936).
  - [2] Pavlo Danylchenko, Foundations and consequences of Relativistic Gravithermodynamics, Vinnytsia, Ukraine: *Nova knyga*, 5 (2020).
  - [3] Pavlo Danylchenko, Foundations of Relativistic Gravithermodynamics. Vinnytsia, Ukraine: *TVORY* (2022).
  - [4] Pavlo Danylchenko, Relativistic Transformations of Coordinate Increments and Metric Segments of Bodies Moving in a Gravitational Field by Inertia, in Proceed. VI Int. Conference APFS'2025. Lutsk, Ukraine: *Volyn University Press "Vezha"*, 26 (2025).
  - [5] Christian Möller, The Theory of Relativity, Oxford: *Clarendon Press* (1972).
  - [6] Pavlo Danylchenko, Thermodynamics with Nonspecific Hidden Variables. *Eng OA*, 4(4), 01-18 (2026).
  - [7] Richard Tolman, Relativity thermodynamics and cosmology. Oxford: *Clarendon press* (1969).
  - [8] Pavlo Danylchenko, Solutions of the Standard Differential Equation of the Dynamic Gravitational Field of a Flat Galaxy, *Crystal Journal of Physics*, 1, Iss. 1, 1-16 (2025).
  - [9] Pavlo Danylchenko, Ignoring the Compensation of Gravitational Time Dilation by Inertial Motion of Matter and Other Theoretical Misconceptions and Imaginary Entities in Physics, Astronomy, and Cosmology. *Int Nat Sci Int Rese*, 1(1), 01-46 (2026).
  - [10] Pavlo Danylchenko, Alternatives to the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian, *Curr Res Traffic Transport Eng*, 4(1), 01-05 (2026).
  - [11] Pavlo Danylchenko, On the justification for using a dynamic gravitational field in an expanding Universe, in Proceed. XIII Int. Conference RNAOPM2026. Lutsk, Ukraine: *Volyn University Press "Vezha"*, 128 (2026).
  - [12] Pavlo Danylchenko, Ordinary synchronization-compensation transformations of coordinate and time increments, in Proceed. XIII Int. Conference RNAOPM2026. Lutsk, Ukraine: *Volyn University Press "Vezha"*, 131 (2026).
  - [13] Pavlo Danylchenko, The spiral-wave nature of elementary particles. Proceedings of International scientific conference "D.D. Ivanenko – outstanding physicist-theorist, pedagogue", ed. Rudenko O.P., Poltava, Ukraine: *PDPU*, 44 (2004).
  - [14] Pavlo Danylchenko, Spiralwave model of the Universe, in Proceedings of all-Ukrainian seminar on theoretical and mathematical physics: in honour of 85th anniversary of Anatoly Swidzynski. Lutsk, Ukraine: *Volyn University Press "Vezha"*, 21 (2014).
  - [15] Pavlo Danylchenko, About possibilities of physical unrealizability of cosmological and gravitational singularities in General relativity and Relativistic Gravithermodynamics. *Curr Res Traffic Transport Eng*, 4(1), 01-23 (2026).
  - [16] John D. Anderson, Philip A. Laing, Eunice L. Lau, Anthony S. Liu, Michael Martin Nieto, Slava G. Turyshev, Study of the anomalous acceleration of Pioneer 10 and 11. *Physical Review D*. Vol. 65, no. 8, 4322–4329 (2002).
  - [17] Carl Johan Masreliez, A cosmological explanation to the Pioneer anomaly, *Ap&SS*, 299, no. 1, 83-108 (2005).
  - [18] Robert A. Jacobson, The orbits of the Neptunian satellites and the orientation of the Neptune. *The Astronomical Journal*, 137, N. 5, 4322 (2009).