

Proof of Polya equivalent of Riemann Hypothesis

Debasis Biswas
Chakdaha
W.B-741222
India
biswasdebasis38@gmail.com.

Abstract

[In this paper Polya equivalent of Riemann Hypothesis is proved from Complex analytic expression of Riemann Xi function]

Key words : Riemann Hypothesis equivalent, Riemann xi function, Riemann Zeta function ; Polya equivalent of Riemann Hypothesis .

1. Introduction .

One of the most difficult problem to physics and mathematics community is Riemann Hypothesis which is a Conjecture proposed by Bernhard Riemann which states that all Complex zeros of Riemann Zeta function has a real part $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. This Conjecture is not yet proved or disproved . There are some equivalent statements whose proofs imply proof of Riemann Hypothesis . One such equivalent is Polya equivalent which is due to G. Polya [1]

This equivalent states that if instead of considering Riemann Xi function $\xi(s)$ we define

$$\Xi(s) = \xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + is\right), \quad s = \text{complex} = x + iy \quad (1.1)$$

Then all zeros of $\Xi(s)$ are real.

2. Proof of Polya statement.

In some papers [2,3] it was shown that complex Riemann Xi function $\xi(s)$ has analytic expression

$$\xi(s) = F_2(l_1) + F_1(l_1) \cosh l_1 \left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad (2.1)$$

where $F_2(l_1)$, $F_1(l_1)$ are two real unknown positive constants and l_1 is a real parameter which is positive also.

It was also shown from the papers [2, 3, 4, 5] that some important results of $\xi(s)$ and some Riemann Hypothesis equivalents follow from the expression of $\xi(s)$ given by (2.1)

In this paper Polya equivalent of Riemann Hypothesis will be proved from the expression of $\Xi(s)$ defined by equation number (1.1)

We have from (1.1)

$$\xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + is\right) = \Xi(s)$$

$$= F_2(l_1) + F_1(l_1) \cosh l_1 \left[\frac{1}{2} + is - \frac{1}{2}\right] \quad \text{replacing } s \text{ by } \left(\frac{1}{2} + is\right) \text{ in equation (2.1)}$$

$$= F_2(l_1) + F_1(l_1) \cosh l_1 [is]$$

$$= F_2(l_1) + F_1(l_1) \cosh l_1 [i(x + iy)] \quad \text{using (1.1)}$$

$$\text{i.e. } \Xi(s) = F_2(l_1) + F_1(l_1) \text{Cosh } l_1 [ix - y]$$

$$= F_2(l_1) + F_1(l_1) [\text{Cosh } l_1(ix) \text{Cosh } l_1y - \text{Sinh } l_1(ix) \text{Sinh } l_1y]$$

$$= F_2(l_1) + F_1(l_1) [\text{Cos } l_1x \cdot \text{Cosh } l_1y - i \text{Sin } l_1x \cdot \text{Sinh } l_1y]$$

$$= F_2(l_1) + F_1(l_1) [\text{Cos } l_1x \cdot \text{Cosh } l_1y - i \text{Sin } l_1x \cdot \text{Sinh } l_1y] \quad (2.2)$$

Now zero of $\Xi(s)$ implies both real (R) and imaginary (I) parts of (2.2) must be zero.

Hence zero of $\Xi(s)$ is given by

$$R = F_2(l_1) + F_1(l_1) \text{Cos } l_1x \cdot \text{Cosh } l_1y = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

$$\text{and } I = F_1 \text{Sin } l_1x \cdot \text{Sinh } l_1y = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

Now $F_1 \neq 0$, Hence $I = 0$ implies

$$\text{either i) Sin } l_1x = 0$$

$$\text{or ii) Sinh } l_1y = 0$$

$$\text{But Sin } l_1x = 0 \text{ implies Cos } l_1x = \pm 1. \text{ Then from (2.3) Cosh } l_1y = \pm \frac{F_2(l_1)}{F_1(l_1)} \quad (2.5)$$

But $\text{Cosh } l_1y$ is defined always positive, which contradicts equation (2.5) because $F_2(l_1)$ and $F_1(l_1)$ are both positive, consequently r.h.s of (2.5) is always positive only, which is not the only case as the r.h.s of equation (2.5) suggests.

Hence $I = 0$ implies $\text{Sinh } l_1y = 0$ i.e.

$$y = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

And when $y = 0$,

$$\text{Cosh } l_1 y = 1 \quad (2.7)$$

Hence from (2.3), $R = 0$ implies

$$x = \left(\frac{1}{l_1} \right) \text{Cos}^{-1} \left(-\frac{F_2(l_1)}{F_1(l_1)} \right) \quad (2.8)$$

Thus it turns out that zeros of $\Xi(s)$ are of the form $\left[\frac{1}{l_1} \text{Cos}^{-1} \left(-\frac{F_2}{F_1} \right), 0 \right]$ which are all real.

3. Conclusion,

Therefore the Polya equivalent of Riemann Hypothesis is proved.

4. References.

1. Equivalents of the Riemann Hypothesis Vol-2
Kevin Broughan. C. U. P (2017)
2. Vixra : 2105.0039 Debasis Biswas .
3. Vixra : 2103.0083 Debasis Biswas .
4. Vixra : 2104.0095 Debasis Biswas
5. Vixra : 2104.0013 Debasis Biswas