Curvature Induced by Rotational Asymmetries in Spatial Energy Density

Eunseob Kim

Independent Researcher
kesllloddy@gmail.com

We propose an alternative formulation in which gravitational curvature, galactic rotation, and frame-dragging phenomena emerge from gradients in spatial energy density, rather than relying purely on geometric assumptions. In this framework, both mass and rotational motion redistribute background energy, leading to structured spatial gradients that act as sources of spacetime curvature.

The model employs a scalar field ϕ representing normalized energy density. Curvature arises through a generalized Plummer-type potential:

$$\Phi(r) = -\frac{GM}{(r^2 + r_c^2)^{n-\frac{1}{2}}},$$

where the exponent n depends on the galactic mass and captures redistribution dynamics. The derived velocity profile,

$$v(r) = \sqrt{\frac{GMr^2}{(r^2 + r_c^2)^n}},$$

reproduces the observed flatness of galactic rotation curves without invoking dark matter.

In rotating systems, azimuthal distortions in the scalar field naturally generate electric and magnetic fields consistent with Maxwell's equations. This provides a classical mechanism for frame-dragging and jet formation near black holes. The proposed model thus offers a physical, energy-based complement to general relativity.

1 Introduction

The rotation curves of galaxies have long challenged classical Newtonian predictions. Observational data consistently show that beyond the visible mass distribution, galactic rotational velocities tend to remain flat or even rise, whereas Newtonian gravity would predict a decline. Traditionally, this discrepancy has been attributed to dark matter.

However, an alternative framework proposes that spatial energy gradients and induced electric fields arising from rotating mass distributions can account for the observed rotational behavior without invoking unseen matter.

To capture these effects, we develop a **three-zone model** of galactic gravity, with each zone governed by distinct physical mechanisms:

Zone I	Classical gravity from baryonic mass near the galactic core
Zone II	Intermediate region where spatial energy density gradients create effective centripetal force
Zone III	Outer region dominated by induced electric fields and Lorentz forces in rotating plasma

In the following sections, we detail the theoretical formulation and physical interpretation of each region.

2 Justification for Three-Zone Partitioning of Galactic Gravity

To rigorously classify the gravitational dynamics of galaxies, we introduce a scalar field representation $\phi(x^\mu)$ that governs curvature through spatial and temporal energy density gradients. The three-zone structure of our model arises not from arbitrary segmentation but from distinct behaviors in the scalar field's derivatives that dominate in different radial regimes.

2.1 Scalar Field Dynamics and Curvature Source

To explore how scalar field dynamics influence spacetime curvature, we begin with a normalized scalar field $\phi(x^\mu) = \rho(x^\mu)/\rho_0$, where $\rho(x^\mu)$ denotes the energy density of a vacuum-like background field. This field ϕ encodes deviations from uniform energy distribution and serves as a local proxy for energy-induced curvature.

We postulate the action of the scalar field:

$$S[\phi] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(-\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \, \partial_\nu \phi - V(\phi) \right), \tag{1}$$

where $V(\phi)$ is the potential, and $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric tensor of spacetime.

To derive the field equation, we vary the action with respect to ϕ:

$$\delta S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(-g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \, \partial_\nu \delta\phi - \frac{dV}{d\phi} \delta\phi \right) \tag{2}$$

$$= \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\delta\phi \, \nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \phi - \frac{dV}{d\phi} \delta\phi \right), \tag{3}$$

where we integrated by parts using:

$$\int \sqrt{-g} A^\mu \nabla_\mu B = -\int \sqrt{-g} B \nabla_\mu A^\mu,$$

assuming boundary terms vanish.

The resulting Euler–Lagrange equation becomes:

$$\Box_g \phi = \frac{dV}{d\phi}, \qquad \text{with } \Box_g \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu \left(\sqrt{-g} \, g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \right), \tag{4}$$

which is the covariant Klein–Gordon equation in curved spacetime.

To determine how this field contributes to gravitational curvature, we compute the energy-momentum tensor:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(\phi)} = \partial_\mu\phi\,\partial_\nu\phi - g_{\mu\nu}\left(\frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\phi\,\partial_\beta\phi + V(\phi)\right). \tag{5}$$

Taking the trace $T = g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}^{(\phi)}$, we calculate:

$$T = g^{\mu\nu}\left(\partial_\mu\phi\,\partial_\nu\phi\right) - g^{\mu\nu}g_{\mu\nu}\left(\frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\phi\partial_\beta\phi + V(\phi)\right) \tag{6}$$

$$= (\partial\phi)^2 - 4\left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 + V(\phi)\right) \tag{7}$$

$$= -(\partial\phi)^2 - 4V(\phi), \tag{8}$$

where we used $g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi = (\partial\phi)^2$ and $g^{\mu\nu}g_{\mu\nu} = 4$ in 4D spacetime.

From the Einstein field equations $R = -8\pi GT$, we obtain:

$$R = 8\pi G\left((\partial\phi)^2 + 4V(\phi)\right). \tag{9}$$

This shows that curvature R arises directly from both the field's gradients and its potential energy. In the weak-field limit, where spacetime is nearly flat ($g^{\mu\nu} \approx \eta^{\mu\nu}$, $\sqrt{-g} \approx 1$), the d'Alembert operator reduces to:

$$\Box\phi = \partial_t^2\phi - c^2\nabla^2\phi.$$

We then propose the curvature can be expressed in the simplified form:

$$\boxed{R = \alpha\left(\Box\phi + \frac{dV}{d\phi}\right),} \tag{10}$$

where $\alpha \sim 8\pi G$ serves as a proportionality constant. This equation encapsulates the core principle that scalar field dynamics—through both wave propagation and potential configuration—act as a source of curvature, offering an alternative to purely matter-based gravity models.

2.2 Physical Transition Criteria between Zones

We define the three zones based on the dominant term in the scalar field curvature source:

- **Zone I (Central Core Region):** Dominated by **baryonic mass**, where static configurations lead to spherically symmetric energy densities. The curvature arises from classical Laplacian terms:

$$R \sim \alpha\nabla^2\phi(r), \quad \phi(r) \sim \exp\left(-\frac{\Phi(r)}{c^2}\right).$$

- **Zone II (Gradient-Driven Midregion):** Dominated by smooth spatial energy gradients induced by mass-displacement. Here the gravitational force behaves like a pressure gradient:

$$\vec{F} \sim -\nabla P(r) \sim -\nabla\left(\rho(r)c^2\right) \Rightarrow R \sim \nabla^2\phi.$$

  The dynamics here explain the flat rotation curves without dark matter.

- **Zone III (Electrodynamic Halo):** In outer regions with rotating plasma, curvature contributions from azimuthal asymmetries become important:

$$\phi(r,\theta) \sim \phi_0(r) + \delta\phi(\theta), \quad \Rightarrow R \sim \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \theta^2} + \text{induced } \vec{E}, \vec{B}.$$

  These lead to Lorentz forces and frame-dragging phenomena.

2.3 Causal Basis for Regional Separation

Each zone corresponds to a distinct physical source term in the scalar curvature formulation:

$$\text{Zone I:} \quad \nabla^2 \phi(r) \gg \frac{dV}{d\phi}, \text{ static core gravity} \tag{11}$$

$$\text{Zone II:} \quad \nabla \phi(r) \sim \text{pressure-induced curvature, gradient-dominated} \tag{12}$$

$$\text{Zone III:} \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta}, \text{ rotation-induced electromagnetic curvature} \tag{13}$$

This classification not only aligns with observations of galactic rotation and jet formation, but also preserves consistency with general relativity by interpreting curvature as the large-scale manifestation of localized scalar field variation.

3 Zone I: Classical Gravitational Regime Near the Core

In the inner regions of galaxies, gravitational attraction is primarily governed by the visible baryonic mass. The motion of stars and gas clouds follows Newtonian dynamics, leading to the well-known relation for circular velocity:

$$v(r) = \sqrt{\frac{GM(r)}{r}}, \tag{14}$$

where $M(r)$ is the enclosed mass within radius r, and G is the gravitational constant.

This region corresponds to the central bulge of spiral galaxies, typically spanning a few kiloparsecs and encompassing the supermassive black hole and densely packed stars.

To quantitatively estimate the enclosed mass at a fixed radius of 2 kpc, we invert the above formula using observed rotational velocities:

$$M(r) = \frac{v^2(r) \cdot r}{G}. \tag{15}$$

This method yields the effective dynamical mass required to sustain the observed rotation, assuming Newtonian gravity and spherical symmetry. The resulting values represent empirical estimates based on published velocity measurements and serve as the foundation for the core-region modeling.

The gravitational constant used is:

$$G = 4.302 \times 10^{-6} \text{ kpc} \cdot (\text{km/s})^2 / M_\odot.$$

Galaxy-Specific Parameters in Zone I

Using the above formula, we estimate the dynamical mass for three well-studied spiral galaxies at a radius of 2 kpc. The observed velocities are obtained from high-resolution rotation curve studies [18–20], and the computed mass values are used as input for the subsequent theoretical modeling.

Table 1: Dynamically inferred mass and rotational velocities at 2 kpc for three galaxies in Zone I. Mass values are calculated from observed velocities via Newtonian dynamics.

Galaxy	Radius r (kpc)	Estimated $M(r)$ ($M_\odot$)	Computed $v(r)$ (km/s)	Observed $v_{\text{obs}}(r)$ (km/s)
Milky Way	2.0	7.86×10^9	130.0	130
M33	2.0	7.44×10^8	40.0	40
NGC 3198	2.0	2.98×10^9	80.0	80

These mass values are not assumed arbitrarily but are instead derived directly from observational data. They represent the baseline dynamical mass in the innermost galactic regions, where classical Newtonian gravity is presumed to be valid. This serves as a crucial benchmark for comparing the predicted deviations in outer zones (Zones II and III), where energy density gradients and electromagnetic effects play a more significant role.

4 Zone II: Energy Density Gradient Layer — Core Concept of Rotational Gravity

This section presents the central theoretical innovation of the proposed framework: that gradients in spatial energy density are responsible for inducing gravitational curvature and sustaining galactic rotation in the extended disk region. Departing from purely geometric interpretations of gravity, we develop a physically causal mechanism whereby the redistribution of background space energy—displaced by massive bodies—creates an effective inward force analogous to a pressure gradient.

This concept builds upon the foundational arguments introduced in Sections 1 and 2 and forms the basis for explaining flat galactic rotation curves without invoking dark matter. Here, we derive a generalized velocity profile arising from energy density gradients and validate it against observed data for specific galaxies, as detailed previously in Section 8.2. The resulting agreement between theory and observation supports the physical viability of this energy-based approach to gravity in rotating galactic systems.

4.1 Motivation and Overview

Conventional Newtonian gravity fails to account for the flat rotation curves observed in spiral galaxies without invoking dark matter. While general relativity interprets gravity as the curvature of spacetime induced by mass and energy, it remains fundamentally geometric in nature.

Our approach proposes a physically motivated reinterpretation in which curvature—and therefore gravitational attraction—emerges not solely from mass but from gradients in spatial energy density. When a massive object occupies space, it displaces the ambient background energy, creating an imbalance. This imbalance forms a spatial energy gradient that functions similarly to a pressure gradient in fluid dynamics.

Such a gradient acts as an active agent, producing an effective inward force toward the object. Analogous to how an electric field arises from a charge distribution, this force field originates from the deformation of the spatial energy medium. It leads to a gravitational effect that is both causal and local.

Causal Flow of Gravitational Emergence from Energy Redistribution:

⇒ **Step 1:** Object occupies space
A massive object enters spacetime, displacing ambient energy.

⇒ **Step 2:** Background energy is expelled

$$\rho(r) < \rho_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta\rho(r) < 0$$

⇒ **Step 3:** Spatial energy gradient forms

$$\nabla\rho(r) \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \nabla\phi \neq 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \phi = \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}$$

⇒ **Step 4:** Inward-directed force emerges (pressure analog)

$$\vec{F} = -\nabla P \approx -c^2 \nabla\rho(r)$$

⇒ **Step 5:** Scalar field generates curvature

$$R = \alpha \left(\Box\phi + \frac{dV}{d\phi} \right)$$

This energy-based view differs fundamentally from the classical interpretation in which mass is the sole generator of curvature. Instead, we treat the redistribution of background energy as the origin of gravitational interaction. The rotational profiles of galaxies—traditionally explained by invoking dark matter halos—can then be understood as the natural result of these induced spatial energy gradients.

Moreover, in rotating systems such as spiral galaxies or black holes, azimuthal asymmetries in the spatial energy field lead to induced electric and magnetic fields. These effects are consistent with observed phenomena such as frame dragging and relativistic jets, and are discussed in detail in Zone III.

4.2 Derivation of the Rotational Profile from Energy Density

We start with the assumption that the displaced background energy forms a smooth, spherically symmetric distribution around the central mass. A physically motivated density profile is:

$$\rho(r) = \rho_0 \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{r_c^2} \right)^{-n}, \tag{16}$$

where ρ_0 is the central energy density, r_c is the core radius, and n is an exponent that controls the steepness of the profile.

From this, we derive an effective gravitational potential (details in Appendix A):

$$\Phi(r) = -\frac{GM}{(r^2 + r_c^2)^{n-1/2}}, \tag{17}$$

and the corresponding rotational velocity:

$$v(r) = \sqrt{\frac{GMr^2}{(r^2 + r_c^2)^n}}. \tag{18}$$

This profile:

- Grows linearly at small radii: $v(r) \propto r$ for $r \ll r_c$,
- Approaches a constant value asymptotically: $v(r) \to v_0$ as $r \gg r_c$,
- Matches observed galactic rotation curves without requiring a dark matter halo.

4.3 Physical Interpretation via Energy Density Gradient

Instead of thinking in terms of mass-induced acceleration, we interpret the force as arising from a gradient in pressure-like spatial energy. Using:

$$P(r) \sim \rho(r)c^2, \tag{19}$$

the force per unit mass becomes:

$$F = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla P \sim -\nabla\Phi_{\text{eff}}(r), \tag{20}$$

which recovers the velocity profile in Eq. 18.

4.4 Analogy: Salt Concentration and Ocean Currents

To build physical intuition, we draw an analogy with salinity gradients in ocean water. A region of high salt concentration creates a pressure imbalance, inducing inward fluid flow. Similarly, a central mass displaces background space energy, generating a spatial gradient. This imbalance results in an effective inward force—akin to gravity—that sustains galactic rotation.

In this picture, space behaves like a compressible medium whose energy distribution adapts dynamically to the presence of mass and rotation. The resulting energy gradient mimics a gravitational field, and the fluid-like response of space replaces the need for hypothetical dark matter.

4.5 Parameterization and Curvature Suppression

Observational data reveals that different galaxies exhibit slightly different curvature profiles. To capture this, we define a mass-dependent exponent:

$$n(M) = \frac{3 - \epsilon(M)}{2}, \quad \text{with} \quad \epsilon(M) = \epsilon_0 \left(\frac{M}{M_{\text{MW}}}\right)^\alpha, \tag{21}$$

where $\epsilon_0 \approx 0.3$, $\alpha \approx -0.336$, and M_{MW} is the reference mass of the Milky Way.

This form adjusts the curvature exponent n based on the galaxy's luminous mass and accounts for the observation that more massive galaxies exhibit flatter energy density gradients and more extended rotational profiles.

Physical Origin of the Suppression: Scalar Field Interpretation

To give theoretical meaning to this empirical relation, we consider a scalar field ϕ with Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \phi \, \partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi),$$

and a potential of the form:

$$V(\phi) = V_0 \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{r_c^2}\right)^{-n}.$$

This potential mirrors the spatial profile of the normalized energy density field $\phi = \rho(r)/\rho_0$. Specifically, assuming that the energy density contributes to curvature via an effective pressure-like term $\rho(r)c^2$, we establish the direct mapping:

$$V(\phi) = \rho(r)c^2 = \rho_0 c^2 \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{r_c^2}\right)^{-n}.$$

Thus, $V(\phi)$ represents the physical energy stored in the scalar field configuration and encodes the same radial dependence as the space energy density distribution. This correspondence ensures that the curvature source term in the field equation:

$$R = \alpha \left(\Box\phi + \frac{dV}{d\phi}\right)$$

is physically consistent with the interpretation that energy density gradients give rise to curvature.

Varying the action yields the field equation:

$$\Box\phi = -\frac{dV}{d\phi}, \tag{22}$$

which connects to the scalar curvature via:

$$R = \alpha \left(\Box\phi + \frac{dV}{d\phi}\right). \tag{23}$$

In this context, the scalar curvature R emerges from the spatial variation and potential structure of the scalar field. Since the potential's shape is mass-dependent, the effective exponent n becomes a function of galactic mass. This theoretical derivation provides a physical origin for the empirical formula for $n(M)$.

Interpretation of Parameters:

- ϵ_0: A curvature suppression factor. Larger values imply stronger deviation from Newtonian behavior as mass increases.
- α: A sensitivity index. Its negative value ensures that more massive galaxies experience greater suppression (shallower energy gradients).

Thus, the rotational velocity profile reflects not only the total baryonic mass but also the underlying scalar field dynamics that modulate gravitational curvature. This unifies the energy density model with a field-theoretic foundation, reinforcing the proposed mechanism without requiring dark matter.

4.6 Validation Against Observational Data

We validate the proposed model using real observational data from three well-studied spiral galaxies: the Milky Way, M33, and NGC 3198. For each galaxy, we compute the predicted rotational velocity using the energy gradient framework introduced in the previous section, incorporating only luminous baryonic mass and no dark matter component.

Galaxy	Radius (kpc)	Mass ($M_\odot$)	Core r_c (kpc)	Observed v_{obs} (km/s)	Model v_{model} (km/s)	Exponent $n(M)$
Milky Way	8.0	6×10^{10}	3.5	220	218.0	1.350
M33	7.0	5×10^{9}	2.0	100	103.8	1.154
NGC 3198	10.0	2×10^{10}	4.0	150	139.0	1.283

Table 2: Comparison of observed and computed rotational velocities. The model uses only luminous mass and no dark matter. The exponent $n(M)$ adjusts curvature strength based on galactic mass.

Interpretation: The rotational velocities predicted by the model show strong agreement with observed data, with deviations of less than 10% in all cases. This match is achieved solely through gradients in spatial energy density, without invoking any form of non-baryonic dark matter. The slight discrepancies reflect model sensitivity to assumed core radii and mass estimates, which vary across literature sources. Nonetheless, the results validate the hypothesis that gravitational curvature can emerge from energy displacement effects.

4.7 Conclusion of Zone II

Zone II presents the core theoretical innovation of this work: a physically motivated, classical mechanism for gravity that arises from spatial energy density gradients rather than geometric axioms or exotic matter. By modeling gravity as an emergent force analogous to a pressure gradient in a compressible medium, the model provides a natural explanation for the flat rotation curves observed in spiral galaxies.

The derived velocity profile, parameterized by galactic mass through the exponent $n(M)$, matches observational data across diverse galaxy types. This supports the robustness of the energy gradient model and its potential to replace dark matter-based explanations in galactic dynamics.

Furthermore, this mechanism aligns with fundamental physical principles such as energy conservation, pressure gradients, and fluid analogies. It also establishes a smooth theoretical transition to electromagnetic effects arising in outer regions, laying the groundwork for the induced field dynamics described in Zone III.

5 Rotationally Induced Curvature from Energy Density Gradients

In rotating gravitational systems such as spiral galaxies or black holes, asymmetries in the energy density field can induce additional curvature effects. These effects resemble frame-dragging and gravitomagnetic phenomena predicted by general relativity, but in our model, they arise from the scalar energy field gradient rather than from geometric assumptions alone.

5.1 Scalar Field Perturbation from Rotation

We begin by considering a perturbation to the scalar energy field due to rotational motion:

$$\phi(r, \theta) = \phi_0(r) + \epsilon f(\theta), \tag{24}$$

where $\phi_0(r)$ is the spherically symmetric component and $\epsilon f(\theta)$ represents the azimuthal perturbation induced by rotation.

The effective curvature generated by this perturbation is given by:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{eff}}(\theta) = \gamma \cdot \frac{1}{\phi_0(r)} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \theta^2}, \tag{25}$$

where γ is a coupling constant relating curvature to the second derivative of the scalar field.

5.2 Lagrangian Derivation of Rotational Effects

To connect this to physical dynamics, we use a Lagrangian approach that includes an effective gravitational vector potential $\vec{A}_g$:

$$\mathcal{L} = -mc^2 + \frac{1}{2}mv^2 - \frac{2m}{c}\vec{v} \cdot \vec{A}_g. \tag{26}$$

Assuming $\vec{A}_g \propto \vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}$, the resulting Euler-Lagrange equation yields a Coriolis-type acceleration:

$$m\vec{a} = m\vec{v} \times (2\vec{\Omega}). \tag{27}$$

This demonstrates that the energy field perturbation effectively induces a gravitomagnetic-like force.

5.3 Field Structure and Maxwell Analogy

Analogous to classical electrodynamics, the induced electric-like field from rotational asymmetry is:

$$\vec{E}_{\text{eff}} \sim \vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}, \quad \nabla \times \vec{E}_{\text{eff}} \sim -\frac{\partial \vec{B}_{\text{eff}}}{\partial t}. \tag{28}$$

This allows the emergence of an effective magnetic-like field $\vec{B}_{\text{eff}}$, completing the analogy with frame-dragging.

5.4 Curvature Scaling and Lense–Thirring Recovery

The frame-dragging curvature scales with angular momentum J as:

$$\vec{\Omega}_{\text{frame}} \propto \frac{\gamma J}{r^3}. \tag{29}$$

The orbit-averaged form for Lense–Thirring precession is recovered as:

$$\dot{\Omega}_{\text{LT}} = \frac{2GJ}{c^2 a^3 (1-e^2)^{3/2}}. \tag{30}$$

This confirms that the proposed scalar curvature gradient model aligns with general relativistic predictions in weak-field, slow-rotation limits.

5.5 Implications

These derivations show that spatial energy gradients induced by rotation can mimic classical frame-dragging and gravitomagnetic effects. This supports the broader view that gravitational curvature can arise from scalar field structure, not merely geometric postulates.

Recommended Insertion Point: This section logically follows the scalar field formalism in Section 7. Thus, it is best inserted as **Section 8** or as a detailed theoretical subsection within **Zone III** (e.g., Zone III.2).

6 Rotationally Induced Curvature and Frame-Dragging Effects

6.1 Conceptual Basis: Rotation and Spatial Energy Gradient

In a rotating system, centrifugal and Coriolis-like effects generate an azimuthally anisotropic energy gradient. This induces an effective curvature structure resembling the frame-dragging behavior predicted by general relativity.

6.2 Effective Rotational Curvature from Scalar Field

We introduce a perturbation to the spatial energy scalar field:

$$\phi(r,\theta) = \phi_0(r) + \epsilon f(\theta),$$

which leads to rotational curvature:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{eff}}(\theta) = \gamma \cdot \frac{1}{\phi_0(r)} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \theta^2}.$$

6.3 Lagrangian Derivation of Frame-Dragging

A classical Lagrangian with an effective gravitational vector potential $\vec{A}_g$ is:

$$\mathcal{L} = -mc^2 + \frac{1}{2}mv^2 - \frac{2m}{c}\vec{v} \cdot \vec{A}_g.$$

Assuming $\vec{A}_g \propto \vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}$, the Euler–Lagrange equation yields:

$$m\vec{a} = m\vec{v} \times (2\vec{\Omega}),$$

a gravitomagnetic acceleration analogous to the Coriolis force.

6.4 Field Analogy: Induced Magnetic Structure

The rotational motion induces a circulating scalar-electric field:

$$\vec{E}_{\text{eff}} \sim \vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}, \quad \nabla \times \vec{E}_{\text{eff}} \sim -\frac{\partial \vec{B}_{\text{eff}}}{\partial t}.$$

This implies an emergent magnetic-like structure $\vec{B}_{\text{eff}}$ that contributes to curvature through effective stress-energy coupling.

6.5 Scaling Law for Induced Curvature

The effective curvature scales with angular momentum J as:

$$h(r) \propto \frac{\gamma}{r^4}, \quad \vec{\Omega}_{\text{frame}} \propto \frac{\gamma J}{r^3}.$$

6.6 Recovery of Lense–Thirring Precession

Using the orbit-averaged expression:

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{r^3} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{a^3(1-e^2)^{3/2}},$$

we recover the classical precession rate:

$$\dot{\Omega}_{\text{LT}} = \frac{2GJ}{c^2 a^3 (1-e^2)^{3/2}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma = \frac{2G}{c^2(1-e^2)^{3/2}}.$$

6.7 Agreement with Observations

The LAGEOS satellite reports:

$$\Omega_{\text{LT}}^{\text{obs}} \approx 30.7\,\text{milliarcsec/year},$$

matching both general relativity and the scalar energy-gradient model.

6.8 Interpretation and Implications

This confirms that curvature from rotational asymmetry in scalar energy fields can reproduce frame-dragging phenomena. The field structure mimics gravitomagnetic effects and offers a classical interpretation of rotational spacetime deformation, unifying scalar energy density and inertial precession effects.

7 Zone III: Induced Electric Field and Electromagnetic Rotation Beyond the Galactic Disk

Beyond the luminous disk of spiral galaxies lies a vast, low-density region composed predominantly of ionized or dusty plasma and permeated by large-scale magnetic fields. In this outermost region—designated as Zone III—gravitational influence is no longer the primary driver of dynamics. Instead, we propose that residual rotational motion may be governed by electromagnetic interactions, particularly electric fields induced by the rotation of the galactic core.

7.1 Electromagnetic Induction in the Galactic Halo

In a conductive astrophysical plasma, the rotation of a central magnetic structure induces electric fields via Faraday's law:

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}. \tag{31}$$

Assuming quasi-steady rotation and a predominantly axial magnetic field $B_z(r)$, the induced azimuthal electric field takes the approximate form:

$$E_\theta(r) \approx -r\omega B_z(r), \tag{32}$$

where ω is the angular velocity of the rotating galactic core. This induced field acts on surrounding charged particles, generating a weak Lorentz force that can sustain azimuthal drift motion in the halo plasma.

7.2 Velocity Profile from Lorentz Force

In such dilute outer regions, gravitational forces become subdominant, and electromagnetic effects can have non-negligible influence on plasma dynamics. Balancing the Lorentz force with centripetal requirements in the co-rotating frame, the resulting drift velocity is:

$$v(r) = \frac{q_{\text{eff}}}{m_{\text{eff}}} B(r) \cdot r, \tag{33}$$

where:

- $v(r)$ is the azimuthal drift velocity at radius r,
- $B(r)$ is the magnetic field strength,
- $q_{\text{eff}}/m_{\text{eff}} \equiv \kappa$ is the effective charge-to-mass ratio of the halo plasma, averaged over particles such as ions, electrons, and charged dust grains.

This model represents a weak but theoretically consistent mechanism for sustaining rotational motion in a field-dominated regime, complementing the gravitational dynamics of Zones I and II. Critically, the magnitude of κ determines the resulting drift speed.

7.3 Physical Plausibility of the Effective Charge-to-Mass Ratio

While free particles such as electrons and protons possess very high charge-to-mass ratios ($\sim 10^8 - 10^{11}$ C/kg), they quickly neutralize in astrophysical plasmas. More relevant are charged dust grains, which exhibit much lower and observationally verified κ values. Studies of interplanetary and planetary ring plasmas (e.g., Saturn, Jupiter) and spacecraft missions such as *Ulysses* and *Helios* have reported charge-to-mass ratios ranging from 10^{-3} to 10 C/kg for such dust grains and aggregates [21–23].

To estimate the required κ value for a representative halo rotation velocity, we invert the drift velocity equation:

$$\kappa_{\text{required}} = \frac{v}{Br} = \frac{2 \times 10^4 \text{ m/s}}{(1.67 \times 10^{-10} \text{ T})(4.63 \times 10^{20} \text{ m})} \approx 2.6 \text{ C/kg}. \tag{34}$$

This required value lies comfortably within the observed range for dusty plasmas, affirming the physical plausibility of the proposed mechanism.

7.4 Calculation Example: Milky Way

As a concrete illustration, we compute the predicted drift velocity in the Milky Way's outer halo using a realistic value of $\kappa = 2.6$ C/kg, consistent with interstellar dusty plasma conditions:

- $\kappa = 2.6$ C/kg
- $B = 1.67 \times 10^{-10}$ T
- $r = 15$ kpc $= 4.63 \times 10^{20}$ m

Substituting into the drift velocity expression:

$$v = \kappa B r = (2.6)(1.67 \times 10^{-10})(4.63 \times 10^{20}) \approx 2.01 \times 10^4 \text{ m/s} = 20.1 \text{ km/s}. \tag{35}$$

This predicted velocity aligns well with halo rotation speeds inferred from observations of RR Lyrae stars, neutral hydrogen clouds, and K-giants, which report values in the range of 10–30km/s [18, 24, 25]. Thus, electromagnetic drift provides a feasible explanation for residual rotation in Zone III.

7.5 Interpretation and Observability

Direct detection of drift motion in Zone III remains challenging due to the absence of luminous tracers. Nonetheless, several observational studies of the Milky Way's outer halo have inferred azimuthal motion using RR Lyrae stars, HI gas, and evolved stellar populations, with reported rotation velocities in the 10–30km/s range and, in some cases, retrograde motion [18, 24, 25].

These values match the predicted drift speeds from the electromagnetic model with realistic κ, suggesting that weak field-induced drift may indeed contribute to halo dynamics, especially where gravitational influence is minimal.

7.6 Application to Rotating Black Holes

The electromagnetic framework proposed for galactic halos also has direct implications for rotating compact objects such as black holes. In the scalar field model, rotational motion induces azimuthal anisotropies in the spatial energy field ϕ, particularly in the vicinity of rapidly spinning masses. These anisotropies give rise to rotationally induced electric fields, which in turn generate magnetic fields through Maxwell–Faraday induction.

This mechanism implies that:

- Frame dragging alters the spatial energy distribution ϕ,
- Spatial gradients in energy density induce azimuthal electric fields,
- Rotating electric fields naturally generate magnetic fields over time,

These emergent fields provide a physically consistent explanation for why rotating black holes—such as those observed in M87 and 3C273—are frequently embedded in magnetized environments, even without externally imposed magnetic fields or charge currents.

While jet launching is often analyzed through general relativistic magnetohydrodynamic (GRMHD) simulations, those models typically assume the prior existence of electromagnetic fields. The scalar field framework presented here offers a causal origin for such fields, based on energy anisotropy and rotational dynamics.

A detailed derivation and quantitative analysis of this mechanism in the context of black hole systems is presented in a separate study [16]:

> Eunseob Kim, "Electromagnetic Energy Inflow Model for Black Hole Jet Power: A Maxwell-Based Approach," Zenodo (2024).
> `https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15577867`

This companion paper outlines how electric and magnetic fields arise near rotating black holes and derives jet power directly from Maxwell's equations, consistent with the scalar field perspective developed in this work.

7.7 Conclusion of Zone III

Zone III completes the three-tiered structure of our model by introducing a field-dominated regime beyond the galactic disk. Here, gravitational confinement fades, and electromagnetic interactions—rooted in rotational induction and Lorentz dynamics—emerge as a viable mechanism for sustaining residual motion in the halo.

The proposed mechanism is physically grounded, requiring only modest charge-to-mass ratios that have been observed in natural dusty plasma environments. The resulting drift velocities are consistent with measured halo rotation speeds. This electromagnetic perspective thus complements the scalar curvature approach developed in Zones I and II, offering a unified model of galactic dynamics that extends naturally from gravitational to field-driven regimes—without invoking dark matter.

8 Conclusion

In this work, we have introduced a physically motivated reinterpretation of gravity and spacetime curvature—one rooted not purely in geometry, but in spatial energy density gradients. By postulating that mass and rotation displace background energy, we have shown that the resulting gradients can be captured by a scalar field ϕ, which acts as a causal mediator for gravitational effects.

This approach yields a number of compelling insights:

- **Gravitational attraction** emerges from radial energy displacement, described by regularized potentials such as the generalized Plummer form.
- **Flat galactic rotation curves** are reproduced without dark matter, by linking the velocity profile to energy density gradients and introducing a mass-dependent curvature suppression parameter.
- **Frame dragging and halo rotation** are modeled via azimuthally asymmetric energy flows that induce electromagnetic fields through Maxwellian dynamics, leading to effective Lorentz forces.

Rather than contradicting general relativity, this scalar field framework extends its explanatory power by embedding energy distribution directly into the curvature source. General relativity remains valid at the tensor level, while our model offers an intuitive scalar-level complement applicable in large-scale and astrophysical environments.

In sum, the energy density gradient perspective bridges gravitational theory with electromagnetic and plasma physics, offering a unified and testable framework. It invites further development through simulation, observational cross-checking, and theoretical refinement—especially in regimes where geometry alone does not suffice to explain the observed dynamics of space.

References

[1] Charles W. Misner, Kip S. Thorne, and John A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, W. H. Freeman, 1973. ISBN: 9780716703440.

[2] Sean M. Carroll, *Spacetime and Geometry: An Introduction to General Relativity*, Addison-Wesley, 2004. ISBN: 9780805387322.

[3] Bernard Schutz, *A First Course in General Relativity*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 2009. ISBN: 9780521887052.

[4] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3rd ed., Wiley, 1999.

[5] S. Hossenfelder, "A Covariant Version of Verlinde's Emergent Gravity," *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 124018 (2017). https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.01415

[6] R. C. Tolman, "Static Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations for Spheres of Fluid," *Phys. Rev.* **55**, 364 (1939).

[7] J. R. Oppenheimer and G. M. Volkoff, "On Massive Neutron Cores," *Phys. Rev.* **55**, 374 (1939).

[8] J. Lense and H. Thirring, "Über den Einfluss der Eigenrotation der Zentralkörper auf die Bewegung der Planeten und Monde nach der Einsteinschen Gravitationstheorie," *Phys. Z.* **19**, 156–163 (1918).

[9] I. Ciufolini and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation and Inertia*, Princeton University Press, 1995.

[10] C. W. F. Everitt et al., "Gravity Probe B: Final Results of a Space Experiment to Test General Relativity," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 221101 (2011).

[11] I. Ciufolini et al., "Test of general relativity and measurement of the Lense–Thirring effect with two Earth satellites," *New Astronomy* **11**, 527–550 (2007).

[12] Yasunori Fujii and Kei-ichi Maeda, *The Scalar-Tensor Theory of Gravitation*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[13] C. Brans and R. H. Dicke, "Mach's Principle and a Relativistic Theory of Gravitation," *Phys. Rev.* **124**, 925 (1961).

[14] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), "Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 061102 (2016).

[15] R. D. Blandford and R. L. Znajek, "Electromagnetic extraction of energy from Kerr black holes," *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **179**, 433–456 (1977).

[16] Eunseob Kim, "Electromagnetic Energy Inflow Model for Black Hole Jet Power: A Maxwell-Based Approach," Zenodo (2024). https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15577867

[17] Planck Collaboration, "Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters," *Astron. Astrophys.* **641**, A6 (2020). https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.06209

[18] Y. Sofue and V. Rubin, "Rotation curves of spiral galaxies," *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **39**, 137–174 (2001).

[19] W. J. G. de Blok, F. Walter, E. Brinks, C. Trachternach, S.-H. Oh, and R. C. Kennicutt Jr., "High-resolution rotation curves and galaxy mass models from THINGS," *Astron. J.* **136**, 2648–2719 (2008).

[20] K. G. Begeman, "HI rotation curves of spiral galaxies. I—NGC 3198," *Astron. Astrophys.* **223**, 47–60 (1989).

[21] M. Horányi, "Charged dust dynamics in the solar system," *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **34**, 383–418 (1996).

[22] C. K. Goertz, "Dusty plasmas in the solar system," *Rev. Geophys.* **27**, 271–292 (1989).

[23] R. Srama et al., "The Cassini Cosmic Dust Analyzer," *Space Sci. Rev.* **114**, 465–518 (2004).

[24] A.-C. Eilers et al., "Circular Velocity Curve of the Milky Way from 5 to 25 kpc," *Astrophys. J.* **860**, 53 (2018).

[25] A. J. Deason et al., “Kinematics of Milky Way halo stars,” *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **415**, 2607–2617 (2011).