A Novel Proof of The *abc* Conjecture: It is Easy as abc!

Abdelmajid Ben Hadj Salem

To the memory of my Father who taught me arithmetic To my wife **Wahida**, my daughter **Sinda** and my son **Mohamed Mazen** To Prof. **A. Nitaj** for his work on the abc conjecture

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the *abc* conjecture. Assuming that the conjecture $c < rad^{1.63}(abc)$ is true, we give the proof that the *abc* conjecture is true.

1. Introduction and notations

Let a be a positive integer, $a = \prod_i a_i^{\alpha_i}$, a_i prime integers and $\alpha_i \ge 1$ positive integers. We call radical of a the integer $\prod_i a_i$ noted by rad(a). Then a is written as:

$$a = \prod_{i} a_i^{\alpha_i} = rad(a) \cdot \prod_{i} a_i^{\alpha_i - 1}$$
(1.1)

We denote:

$$\mu_a = \prod_i a_i^{\alpha_i - 1} \Longrightarrow a = \mu_a.rad(a) \tag{1.2}$$

The *abc* conjecture was proposed independently in 1985 by David Masser of the University of Basel and Joseph Esterlé of Pierre et Marie Curie University (Paris 6) [1]. It describes the distribution of the prime factors of two integers with those of its sum. The definition of the *abc* conjecture is given below:

CONJECTURE 1. (abc Conjecture): For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $K(\epsilon)$ such that if a, b, c positive integers relatively prime with c = a + b, then :

$$c < K(\epsilon).rad^{1+\epsilon}(abc) \tag{1.3}$$

where K is a constant depending only of ϵ .

We know that numerically, $\frac{Logc}{Log(rad(abc))} \leq 1.629912$ [2]. It concerned the best example given by E. Reyssat [2]:

$$2 + 3^{10} \cdot 109 = 23^5 \Longrightarrow c < rad^{1.629912} (abc)$$
(1.4)

A conjecture was proposed that $c < rad^2(abc)$ [3]. In 2012, A. Nitaj [4] proposed the following conjecture:

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 11AXX (primary), 11JXX, 26AXX (secondary)...

Page 2 of 5

ABDELMAJID BEN HADJ SALEM

CONJECTURE 2. Let a, b, c be positive integers relatively prime with c = a + b, then:

$$c < rad^{1.63}(abc) \tag{1.5}$$

$$abc < rad^{4.42}(abc) \tag{1.6}$$

In the following, we assume that the conjecture giving by the equation (1.5) is true that constitutes the key to obtain the proof of the *abc* conjecture and we consider the cases c > R because the *abc* conjecture is verified if c < R. For our proof, we proceed by contradiction of the abc conjecture, for $\epsilon \in]0., 0.63[$.

2. The Proof of the abc conjecture

Proof. :

2.1. Trivial Case $\epsilon \geq (0.63 = \epsilon_0)$.

In this case, we choose $K(\epsilon) = e$ and let a, b, c be positive integers, relatively prime, with $c = a + b, 1 \le b < a, R = rad(abc)$, then $c < R^{1+\epsilon_0} \le K(\epsilon) \cdot R^{1+\epsilon} \Longrightarrow c < K(\epsilon) \cdot R^{1+\epsilon}$ and the *abc* conjecture is true.

2.2. Case: $0 < \epsilon < (0.63 = \epsilon_0)$.

We recall the following proposition [4]:

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let $\epsilon \longrightarrow K(\epsilon)$ the application verifying the abc conjecture, then:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} K(\epsilon) = +\infty \tag{2.1}$$

We suppose that the abc conjecture is false, then it exists $\epsilon' \in]0, \epsilon_0[$ and for all parameter $K' = K'(\epsilon') > 0$ it exists at least one triplet (a', b', c') so a', b', c' be positive integers relatively prime with c' = a' + b' and c' verifies :

$$c' > K'(\epsilon').R'^{1+\epsilon'} \tag{2.2}$$

From the proposition cited above, it follows that $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} K'(\epsilon) < +\infty$, we can suppose that $K'(\epsilon)$ is an increasing parameter for $\epsilon \in]0, \epsilon_0[$.

As the parameter K' is arbitrary, we choose $K'(\epsilon) = e^{\epsilon^2}$, it is an increasing parameter. Let :

$$Y_{c'}(\epsilon) = \epsilon^2 + (1+\epsilon)LogR' - Logc', \epsilon \in]0, \epsilon_0[$$

$$(2.3)$$

About the function $Y_{c'}$, we have:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \longrightarrow \epsilon_0} Y_{c'}(\epsilon) = \epsilon_0^2 + Log(R'^{1+\epsilon_0}/c') = \lambda > 0, \quad as \ c < R^{1+\epsilon_0}$$
$$\lim_{\epsilon \longrightarrow 0} Y_{c'}(\epsilon) = -Log(c'/R') < 0, \quad as \ R < c$$

The function $Y_{c'}(\epsilon)$ represents a parabola and it is an increasing function for $\epsilon \in]0, \epsilon_0[$, then the equation $Y_{c'}(\epsilon) = 0$ has one root that we denote ϵ'_1 , it follows the equation :

$$e^{\epsilon_1'^2} R'^{\epsilon_1'} = \frac{c'}{R'}$$
 (2.4)

Discussion about the equation (2.4) above:

We recall the following definition:

DEFINITION 1. The number ξ is called algebraic number if there is at least one polynomial:

$$l(x) = l_0 + l_1 x + \dots + l_m x^m, \quad l_m \neq 0$$
(2.5)

with integral coefficients such that $l(\xi) = 0$, and it is called transcendental if no such polynomial exists.

We consider the equation (2.4):

$$c' = K'(\epsilon'_1) R'^{1+\epsilon'_1} \Longrightarrow \frac{c'}{R'} = \frac{\mu'_{c'}}{rad(a'b')} = e^{\epsilon' \frac{2}{1}} R'^{\epsilon'_1}$$
(2.6)

i) - We suppose that $\epsilon'_1 = \beta_1$ is an algebraic number then $\beta_0 = {\epsilon'}_1^2$ and $\alpha_1 = R'$ are also algebraic numbers. We obtain:

$$\frac{c'}{R'} = \frac{\mu'_{c'}}{rad(a'b')} = e^{\epsilon'_1^2} R'^{\epsilon'_1} = e^{\beta_0} . \alpha_1^{\beta_1}$$
(2.7)

From the theorem (see theorem 3, page 196 in [5]):

THEOREM 2.2. $e^{\beta_0} \alpha_1^{\beta_1} \dots \alpha_n^{\beta_n}$ is transcendental for any nonzero algebraic numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_n$.

we deduce that the right member $e^{\beta_0} . \alpha_1^{\beta_1}$ of (2.7) is transcendental, but the term $\frac{\mu'_{c'}}{rad(a'b')}$ is an algebraic number, then the contradiction and the hypothesis that the *abc* conjecture is false on $\epsilon \in]0, \epsilon_0[$ is not true. It follows that the *abc* conjecture is true on $\epsilon \in]0, \epsilon_0[$, then for all $\epsilon > 0$.

ii) - We suppose that ϵ'_1 is transcendental, then ${\epsilon'}_1^2$ is transcendental. If not, ${\epsilon'}_1^2$ is an algebraic number, it verifies:

$$l(x) = l_{2m}\epsilon'_{1}^{2m} + 0 \times \epsilon'_{1}^{2m-1} + l_{2(m-1)}\epsilon'_{1}^{2(m-1)} + \dots + l_{2}\epsilon'_{1}^{2} + 0 \times \epsilon'_{1} + l_{0} = 0$$

From the definition (2.5) and the equation above, e'_1 is also an algebraic number, then the contradiction with ϵ'_1 a transcendental number.

As R' > 0 is an algebraic number, we know that LogR' is transcendental. We rewrite the equation (2.4) as:

$$\frac{c'}{R'} = e^{\epsilon'_1^2} R'^{\epsilon'_1} = e^{\epsilon'_1^2 + \epsilon'_1 Log R'}$$
(2.8)

By the theorem of Hermite (page 45, [5]) e is transcendental. Let $z = \epsilon'_1^2 + \epsilon'_1 Log R' > 0$:

- If $z \neq 0$, if z is an algebraic number it follows that e^z is transcendental by the theorem of Lindemann (page 51, [5]), it follows the contradiction with c'/R' an algebraic number. Then the hypothesis that the *abc* conjecture is false on $\epsilon \in]0, \epsilon_0[$ is not true. It follows that the *abc* conjecture is true on $\epsilon \in]0, \epsilon_0[$, then for all $\epsilon > 0$.

- Now we suppose that z is transcendental. We write e^z as:

$$e^{z} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{n!} = 1 + z + \frac{z^{2}}{2} + \frac{z^{3}}{3!} + \dots + \frac{z^{N}}{N!} + r(z)$$

and $r(z) \ll \frac{z^{N}}{N!}$ for N very large

ABDELMAJID BEN HADJ SALEM

Then :

$$R'z^{N} + R'Nz^{(N-1)} + \dots + R'N!z + N!(R'-c') = 0$$

It follows that z is an algebraic number \implies the contradiction avec z transcendental. Then the hypothesis that the *abc* conjecture is false on $\epsilon \in]0, \epsilon_0[$ is not true. It follows that the *abc* conjecture is true on $\epsilon \in]0, \epsilon_0[$, then for all $\epsilon > 0$.

The proof of the *abc* conjecture is finished. Assuming $c < R^{1+\epsilon_0}$ is true, we obtain that $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\exists K(\epsilon) > 0$, if c = a + b with a, b, c positive integers relatively coprime, then :

$$c < K(\epsilon).rad^{1+\epsilon}(abc) \tag{2.9}$$

and the constant $K(\epsilon)$ depends only of ϵ .

Q.E.D

Ouf, end of the mystery!

3. Conclusion

Assuming $c < R^{1+\epsilon_0}$ is true, we have given an elementary proof of the *abc* conjecture. We can announce the important theorem:

THEOREM 3.1. Assuming $c < R^{1+\epsilon_0}$ is true, the abc conjecture is true: For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $K(\epsilon) > 0$ such that if a, b, c positive integers relatively prime with c = a + b, then:

$$c < K(\epsilon).rad^{1+\epsilon}(abc) \tag{3.1}$$

where K is a constant depending of ϵ .

Acknowledgements. The author is very grateful to Professors Mihăilescu Preda and Gérald Tenenbaum for their comments about errors found in previous manuscripts concerning proofs proposed of the *abc* conjecture.

Author contributions

This is the author contribution text.

Financial disclosure

None reported.

Conflict of interest

The author declares no potential conflict of interests.

ORCID

Abdelmajid Ben Hadj Salem https: //orcid.org/0000 - 0002 - 9633 - 3330.

Page 4 of 5

References

- M. Waldschmidt: On the abc Conjecture and some of its consequences, presented at The 6th World Conference on 21st Century Mathematics, Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences (ASSMS), Lahore (Pakistan), March 6-9, 2013.
- 2. B. De Smit: https://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/ desmit/abc/. Accessed December 2020.
- 3. P. Mihăilescu: Around ABC, European Mathematical Society Newsletter, N° 93, 2014, 29-34.
- A. Nitaj: Aspects expérimentaux de la conjecture *abc*. Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Paris (1993-1994), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Vol n°235, 1996, 145-156, Cambridge Univ. Press.
- A. Borisovich Shidlovskii: Transcendental Numbers. Translated from the Russian by Neal Koblitz. (De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics; 12). 488 pages (1989)

Abdelmajid Ben Hadj Salem Résidence Bousten 8, Mosquée Raoudha, Bloc B, 1181 Soukra Raoudha Tunisia

abenhadjsalem@gmail.com