Electrical charge – a different approach

Abstract:

It is a parallel theory with the one of quantum mechanics, and is trying in an approximately-simplistic manner to describe the world of atomic processes.

Starting from the similarity between an electric field with a gravitational field, it is demonstrated why (and how) the elementary electrical charge has this value.

Keywords: <u>elementary electrical charge</u> - it is one of the basic properties of matter, which indicates a fundamental property of the fundamental particles; physical field – fundamental notion to explain the transmission of interactions from close to close, and from a distance; space-time – space and time seen as components of a single system space-time four-dimensional; inflation – astronomy; mechanical work – a form of exchange, of energy (changing the dynamic state of the system); oscillation(vibration) – transformation of energy from one form to another;

I. Introduction:

In generally we find many articles relating to (and about) electrical charge, but nowhere does it say from where has this value, its being considered as an intrinsic property of elementary particles.

(It will be considered that the elementary particles, only those particles that have a "real existence", namely, those which have a bigger life of, let's say, 5 min — therefore, the electron, the proton, and the neutron — the other particles live a such short time it disintegrating almost instantaneously after it's being formed, so that we do not know if it's can be considered particles or only "resonances" (oscillations), of determined energy [6]. It is also known that the proton and the neutron have an internal structure (quarks), but its are considered in all experiments like as the standalone particles [1], therefore so like that's will be considered also in this case.)

II. Basic Ideas:

In this material is starting from the similarity between electric field and the gravitational field, and without contradicting or to go into conflicting with quantum mechanics, it will be explain "why" the elementary electrical charge has this value.

Between the gravitational field and the electric field, both with sources at rest, we will find the following:

- similarities:

- the mathematical formulas of the two physical fields (of the forces of the fields) are similars directly proportional with the masses (respectively with the electrical charges) and inversely proportional to the square of the distances [3];
- the intensities of both fields are described by similar mathematical formulas;
- and I would add, as manifestations of the fields:
 - different masses have the same gravitational acceleration (it moves identically in the field) [2] [4];
 - different masses (the electron and the proton) have the same electrical charge (as a value) [1];

- differences:

- gravitational forces are just attractive [2];
- electric forces can be both attractive and repulsive [6];

III. Background of the study:

Since the similarities between the two fields are very high, it will apply what is known about the gravitational field [2], and to the electrical field, that is, to give it to the latter, a form which will be described by space-time geometry.

If we were to simplistically interpret the gravitational field (which is just attractive) in the presence of the masses [2], the geometric shape of the space-time curves would be (let's call it positive curvature – downstairs) as in fig.1.




Fig.1: Simplistic interpretation of the gravitational field (which is only attractive) in the presence of the masses.

(Drawing executed by M.A.)

Attempting to give a geometrical shape and to the electric field, we have the model for attractive force, the one from fig.1, but for the repulsive force we should have a negative curvature (let's call it upward) as in fig.2.




Fig.2: Simplistic interpretation of the electric field, for the repulsive force. We should have a negative curvature (in the presence of the masses). (Drawing executed by M.A.)

But how would make the space-time pass from the positive curve (downstairs) to the negative curvature (upward)? How would "feel" space-time that it has to do with electrical charges of the same kind, to change its curvature? What would be the process of changing the curves? Or is there another way of explaining the part of the repulsive forces? Is there another phenomenon capable to explaining repulsive force?

Yes, there is a phenomenon that it manifests itself throughout the all Universe and it influence all heavenly bodies. It's about the universe's inflation [2][3][4].

It is clear that this inflation phenomenon, which influences (and push away) massive bodies (heavenly bodies) from Universe, it will influence and all elementary particles. This phenomenon best explains "fugue" (rejection of) of the particles, one from other.

IV. Development of the study:

Trough inflation it get along "the swelling" of space-time [2][3][4] and it can be imagined that a balloon which has a some dots marked on it, and when swelling, the points are push it away, one from other [3], without that are being "moved"(to support the action of any forces) real, as in fig.3.




Fig 3: Inflation can be imagined like a balloon that has few points drawn on it, and when it swelling, the points is move away, one from another without that these to be "moved" (without feel the action of some forces) really.

(Drawing executed by M.A.)

This will also happen with elementary particles. They are not dots on the surface, but will be "pulled" by the space-time which is in the inflation process, as in fig.4, and for an external observer it will seem that they are moving away, one from another, as if it will be under the influence of any field.




Fig 4: The elementary particles that are "dragged" of space-time which is in the inflation process, for an external observer it seem that its moving away, one from another, as if under the influence of an physical field.

(Drawing executed by M.A.)

Now we have the explanation for the phenomenon of fugue (rejection of) of the atomic particles, but in this case we can no longer explain the case of attraction between them.

However, one can notice that there is a limit (let say, a critical mass), from where rejection no longer has effect, but prevail only attraction between particles. The mass of the neutron is this "critical mass", and it is at the limit, between stability and instability.

V. Completion of the study:

From the ideas presented above, we can draw the following conclusions:

- the attraction of particles is the bending of space-time in "downstairs";
- rejection is constituted by the "pulling" the particles by the space-time surface, in the inflation process;
- we have a critical mass, between stability and instability, from where the down-bending process of space-time it may oppose to the inflation process;

Based on these conclusions, we can deduce that when two (or more) masses, which insummeded have at least critical mass, are influenced to approaching, "surface tension of the space-time membrane" it will cede, and it will bending downstairs. Thus, the bending of the space-time membrane it will keep the masses together ("it's rolling one to another"), it's opposing to the pulls of the masses by the surface inflation process, as in fig.5




Fig.5: Capitulation of superficial tension of the "space-time membrane", it opposing at dragged of the masses by the process at inflation of the surface. (Drawing executed by M.A.)

But it is noticeable that when the space-time membrane it's bending, it's doing a mechanical work, transmitting a vibration (an oscillation),, ϵ'' to the whole surface, fig. 6. These oscillations behave like a particle [6], generating what we call neutrino.




Fig.6: Mechanical work done by the space-time membrane and transmission of oscillation in the whole surface.

(Drawing executed by M.A.)

But, as I said, this critical mass (obtained from the summation of the masses) is at the limit of stability (that is, in a state of unstable equilibrium) și orice perturbare mică (and under the influence of the inflation process) it will disintegrate into the component parts, and the space-time membrane once again performs a mechanical work, releasing another neutrino, az in fig. 7.




Fig.7: Disintegration of critical mass and the release of another neutrino. (Drawing executed by M.A.)

VI. The advantages of this interpretation:

This interpretation doesn't get in conflict with quantum mechanics and has the following advantages:

- a) show that what we call electrical charge is actually the action of the inflation process on the particles with a lower mass than the critical mass. The inflation process gives the quantitative value of electrical charges;
- b) because "electrical charge property" is actually a property of the space-time, disappear the problem of infinite masses and of electrical charges of the electron [5];
- c) neutrinos being a very small oscillation of space-time, it influences very hardly the materia (small energy), making-them very difficult to be detected [1][5][6]. At the same time, being an oscillation whose action it can be considered (confuse with)to the action of a particle [6], it's easy to understand why it can change from one type to another! (metamorphosis of neutrinos), and why it can be confused with its own anti-particle;

Conclusion:

This material shows that electrical charge is actually a "property" of space-time, and that its value is given by the inflation of the Universe. Therefore, it means that one of the properties, intrinsic, that were attributed to the elementary particles, belongs in fact to the space-time.

If one of the properties of elementary particles belongs to space-time, then, by extrapolation, we might consider that all the properties belong to its, and that the matter is actually devoid of properties. This makes all constants to "belong" in fact the space-time and explains more easily the "strange" properties of the matter.

At the same time, the critical mass shows the limit between quantum theory and the "classical" (see also the proposal of Roger Penrose, respectively Lajos Diosi)[5] – it shows the limit from which space-time influences the matter, and from where the matter influences the space-time, deforming it.

Bibliography:

- 1. G. Folescu *Din enigmele microcosmosului* eng, *From the microcosm enigmas* (Albatros Publishing House 1986);
- 2. Banesh Hoffmann *Albert Einstein, Creatore e Ribelle* eng. *Albert Einstein, Creator and Rebel* (Valentino Bompiani Publishing House 1977);
- 3. V. Tonini *Epistemologia della fisica moderna* eng. *Epistemology of modern physics* (Piovana Publishing House -Abano Terme 1989);
- 4. Maricel Agop și Nicolae Mazilu *Fundamente ale fizicii moderne eng. Fundamentals of modern physics* (Publishing House Junime 1989);
- 5. Cristian Presură Fizica povestită eng, Storytelling the physics (Publishing House Humanitas 2014);
- 6. Richard P. Feynman Şase lecţii uşoare eng. Six easy lessons (Publishing House Humanitas 2010).