#### IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME Through the Mother of God mediation I do this research

#### The Sun Gravity Concept is Unreal (Proves) By Gerges Francis Twadrous

 2<sup>nd</sup> Course Student – Physics Department - Physics & Math Faculty – Peoples' Friendship University – Moscow – Russia -2010-2013
 <u>TEL +201022532292</u> mrwaheid@gmail.com / georgytawdrous@yandex.ru
 The Assumption Of S. Virgin Mary -Written in Cairo – Egypt – 31<sup>st</sup> March 2019

1- Abstract

## The Hypothesis

# "There's No Gravity Found By The Sun"

This paper provides 5 proves for this hypothesis which are:

- 1- Planet Orbital Distance disproves The Sun Gravity Concept (Point No.2)
- 2- The Sun Gravity Value disproves The Sun Gravity Concept (Point No.3)
- 3- The Planet Velocity disproves The Sun Gravity Concept (Point No.4)
- 4- The moon orbit analysis proves the solar group current description is incorrect.
  - (Point No.5)
- 5- The Solar Group is One Machine consists of all solar planets. (Point No.6)

Let's discuss these proves in the following points

Gerges Francis Tawdrous +201022532292		
Curriculum Vitae	http://vixra.org/abs/1902.0044	
E-mail	mrwaheid@gmail.com	
Linkedln	https://eg.linkedin.com/in/gerges-francis-86a351a1	
Twitter	https://twitter.com/TawdrousF	
Facebook	https://www.facebook.com/gergis.tawadrous	
Academia	https://rudn.academia.edu/GergesTawadrous	
All my papers	http://vixra.org/author/gerges_francis_tawdrousBest	

Through the Mother of God mediation I do this research

#### 2- Planet Orbital Distance disproves The Sun Gravity Concept

#### 2-1 How The Planet Orbital Distance Is Defined?

By gravity...the gravitation equation defines the planet orbital distance..!

Let's examine this answer

#### 1<sup>st</sup> critic /

Mercury – Venus – Earth ..... these 3 planets order lead us to conclude that, there's some relationship between the planet diameter and his orbital distance With a clear rule

#### "Greater Diameter needs Greater Orbital Distance"

The current theory tells us this is pure coincidences!

But

There's a similar order (but reversed). Let's see that

Jupiter – Saturn – Uranus – Neptune – Pluto

The previous order tells us a reversed rule

#### "Greater Diameter needs Shorter Orbital Distance"

Something is occurred with Mars which caused the rule to be reversed after Mars! The current theory still consider this second order also as "pure Coincidences" But

URANUS DIAMETER IS GREATER THAN NEPTUNE DIAMETER, where

#### NEPTUNE MASS IS GREATER THAN URANUS...

That tells us the solar planets order is done Depending On The Diameter And Not On The Mass! disproving the gravity concept ...!

## 2<sup>nd</sup> Critic/

If the planet moves by gravity, and defines his orbital distance by the gravitation equation, which depends on the masses, why Jupiter isn't in place of Mercury? Why the greatest Mass has no shortest orbital distance? According to the gravitation equation  $(m/r^2)$ ? The told answer is because of the initial points!! And why we accept the gravitation equation based on that if there's no any proof for it?!

For myself I try to understand and don't believe deeply the current theory So the conclusions are clear before my eyes

## 1- There's A Relationship Between The Planet Diameter And His Orbital Distance

### 2- Mars causes Disturbance For This Relationship

It's hard fighting – but for what? What we should do to understand better the solar group geometry? We should see the contradictions between the planet data and the current theory, then we should create an alternative theory (much better theory) to explain the solar planets motions and origin

Please read

Why We See the Sun Disc = the Moon Disc? (T.S. Eclipse II) <u>http://vixra.org/abs/1903.0322</u>

Through the Mother of God mediation I do this research

#### 2-2 Solar Planet Orbital Distance Equation

 $d^2 = 4d_o(d - d_o)$  (Gerges Francis Tawdrous Equation)

d<sub>o</sub>= Previous Planet Orbital Distance

Where

d= Planet Orbital Distance

There are 3 exceptions which are:

- Earth depends on MercuryMars depends on Venus
  - Mars depends on Venus Pluto depends on Uranus

The equation disturbances are found only with Mars, Pluto as we expected and Earth also as a result of Mars immigration

#### Let's see this equation using in following

1-

Venus Orbital Distance 108.2 mkm)<sup>2</sup>= 4\* Mercury Orbital Distance 57.9mkm x 50.3mkm Mercury Venus distance. (No error) 2-

(Earth Orbital Distance.149.6 mkm) $^2$  = 4\* Mercury Orbital Distance 57.9mkm x91.7 mkm<br/>Mercury Earth distance)(2.5%)<br/>3-

(Mars Orbital Distance 227.9 mkm)<sup>2</sup> = 4\* Venus Orbital Distance 108.2 mkm x 120 mkm Venus Mars distance) (No error)

4-

(Ceres Orbital Distance 415 mkm) $^2$  = 4\* Mars Orbital Distance 227.9 mkm x187 mkm<br/>Mars Ceres distance)(No error)

5-(Jupiter Orbital Distance 778.6 mkm)<sup>2</sup> = 4\* Ceres Orbital Distance 415 mkm x 364 mkm Ceres Jupiter distance) (No error)

6-

(Saturn Orbital Distance 1433.5 mkm) $^2$  = 4\* Jupiter Orbital Distance 778.6 mkm x 655.7<br/>mkm Jupiter Saturn distance)(No error)

7-(Uranus Orbital Distance 2872.5 mkm)<sup>2</sup> = 4\* Saturn Orbital Distance 1433.5 mkm x 1439 mkm Saturn Uranus distance) (No error)

8-(Neptune Orbital Distance 4495.1 mkm)<sup>2</sup> = 4\* Uranus Orbital Distance 2872.5 mkm x 1622 mkm Uranus Neptune distance) (4%)

9-

(Pluto Orbital Distance 5870 mkm) $^2 = 4^*$  Uranus Orbital Distance 2872.5 mkm x 2997.5mkm Uranus Pluto distance)(No error)

#### **3-4-1** The Discussion

It's clear that each planer orbital distance is related to his previous neighbor but the masses are not related! How can we explain this data (also Bode Law support this argument)..

#### Note please

The Equation works perfectly with all solar planets, except with Mars (again the same planet which causes the confusion in the previous argument 2-1) and also with Pluto and Earth Why? Because of Mars and Pluto immigration Please read Mars Immigration Proves (Revised) <u>http://vixra.org/abs/1807.0268</u>

and Pluto was "The Mercury Moon" <u>http://vixra.org/abs/1807.0331</u>

Through the Mother of God mediation I do this research

#### **3- The Sun Gravity Value disproves The Sun Gravity Concept**

#### **Gravity Equation (Gerges Francis Equation)**

The planet gravity =  $\left(\frac{\text{Earth Diameter}}{\text{The Planet Diameter}}\right)^2 \times \left(\frac{\text{The Planet Mass}}{\text{The Earth Mass}}\right) \times \text{Earth gravity}$ 

#### **Solar Planet Gravity Equation**

I- Data

Table No. 1				
Planet	Equation	Resulted	Registered	Error
The Sun	(Earth/Sun diameters rate) <sup>2</sup> (Sun Mass/ Earth Mass)* earth gravity = (1/109) <sup>2</sup> * 333000*9.8= 274	274	274	0
Moon	= (1/109) + 333000 + 9.8 = 2/4 (3.66) <sup>2</sup> x (0.073 / 5.97) x 9.8	$1.6 \text{ m/sec}^2$	$1.6 \text{ m/sec}^2$	0
Mercury	$(2.61)^2 \times (0.23/5.97) \times 9.8$	$3.7 \text{ m/sec}^2$	$3.7 \text{ m/sec}^2$	0
Venus	$(1.0538)^2 \times 4.87 / 5.97) \times 9.8$	$8.9 \text{ m/sec}^2$	$8.9 \text{ m/sec}^2$	0
Earth	9.8	9.8	9.8	0
Mars	$(1.878)^2 \times (0.642 / 5.97) \times 9.8$	3.7 m/sec2	3.7 m/sec2	0
Jupiter	$(0.0892)^2 \text{ x} (1898 / 5.97) \text{ x } 9.8$	24.7 m/sec2	23.1 m/sec2	6.9%
Saturn	$(0.10582)^2 \text{ x} (568/5.97) \text{ x} 9.8$	10.44 m/sec2	9 m/sec2	16%
Uranus	$(0.25)^2 \text{ x} (86.8/5.97) \text{ x} 9.8$	8.9	8.7	2.3%
Neptune	$(0.2575)^2 \times (102/5.97) \times 9.8$	$11.1 \text{ m/sec}^2$	$11 \text{ m/sec}^2$	0.9%
Pluto	$(5.337)^2 (0.0131 / 5.97) \ge 9.8$	$0.6125 \text{ m/sec}^2$	$0.6 \text{ m/sec}^2$	2%

**II-Discussion** 

- 1. We can see that, the Equation is working sufficiently with all planets and even the sun follows this same equation, except with Jupiter and Saturn there are big error (6.9% and 16% respectively.... Why?)
- 2. Jupiter error is 6.9% which is very near from our rate 7.25%, also for Saturn, if Saturn diameter in increased with rate 7.25% to be 129500km ... in this case there will be no error in his gravity equation... we know the rate 7.25% (and also 7.1 and 71) all these rates are found as Lorentz length contraction rates, that means the great error with Jupiter and Saturn are found resulting from the relativistic effects which supports the equation to be considered as a real equation and trustee one
- 3. we need to observe and analyze deeply <u>The Sun Equation</u> in row No.1 of the previous table, because this equation supports my claim that the sun is originated from the same source from which the solar group is originated, otherwise why the sun herself follows the same equation? That may support my claim that, the sun rays is created depends on solar planets motions accumulation....

#### Please review my paper Is the 2737 Phenomenon a Real One? <u>http://vixra.org/abs/1901.0381</u>

Through the Mother of God mediation I do this research

#### 4- The Planet Velocity disproves The Sun Gravity Concept

The planet velocity analysis shows that, there's a geometrical reason behind this velocity value which has no relationship to the gravity concept.. i.e. the planet motion doesn't depend on the gravity... let's see the following data analysis.

#### I- Planet Velocity daily x another planet Velocity daily = $2\pi$ mkm<sup>2</sup>

Let's examine that is following

- (1) 4.095 mkm (Mercury Velocity daily) x 1.535 mkm (Ceres Velocity daily) =  $2\pi$  mkm<sup>2</sup>
- (2) 3.02 mkm (Venus Velocity daily) x 2.08 mkm (Mars Velocity daily)  $=2\pi$  mkm<sup>2</sup>
- (3) 2.58 mkm (Earth Velocity daily) x 2.41 mkm (Moon Velocity daily)  $=2\pi$  mkm<sup>2</sup>

#### II Data (Velocities)

$\frac{\text{Venus Velocity}}{\text{Earth Velocity}} = \frac{\text{Moon Velocity}}{\text{Mars Velocity}} = \frac{\text{Neptune Velocity}}{\text{Pluto Velocity}} = 1.17 = A$ (Max error 1.8%) $\frac{\text{Mercury Velocity}}{\text{Venus Velocity}} = \frac{\text{Mars Velocity}}{\text{Ceres Velocity}} = \frac{\text{Ceres Velocity}}{\text{Jupiter Velocity}} = 1.355 = A^2$ (Max error less 1%) $\frac{\text{Uranus Velocity}}{\text{Neptune Velocity}} = \frac{\text{Earth Velocity}}{\text{Mars Velocity}} = 1.239 = B$ (Max error 1.6%) $\frac{\text{Saturn Velocity}}{\text{Uranus Velocity}} = 1.4263 = B^2$ (Max error 1.8%) (Max error 1.8%)	Dutu (Velocities)	
Earth VelocityMars VelocityPluto Velocity(Max error 1.8%) $\frac{Mercury Velocity}{Venus Velocity} = \frac{Mars Velocity}{Ceres Velocity} = \frac{Ceres Velocity}{Jupiter Velocity} = 1.355 = A^2$ (Max error less 1% $\frac{Uranus Velocity}{Neptune Velocity} = \frac{Earth Velocity}{Mars Velocity} = 1.239 = B$ (Max error 1.6 %) $\frac{Saturn Velocity}{Uranus Velocity} = 1.4263 = B^2$ (Max error 1.8 %)	Venus Velocity Moon Velocity Neptune Vel	ocity $117 - 4$
$\frac{\text{Mercury Velocity}}{\text{Venus Velocity}} = \frac{\text{Mars Velocity}}{\text{Ceres Velocity}} = \frac{\text{Ceres Velocity}}{\text{Jupiter Velocity}} = 1.355 = A^2  (\text{Max error less 1\%})$ $\frac{\text{Uranus Velocity}}{\text{Neptune Velocity}} = \frac{\text{Earth Velocity}}{\text{Mars Velocity}} = 1.239 = B  (\text{Max error 1.6\%})$ $\frac{\text{Saturn Velocity}}{\text{Uranus Velocity}} = 1.4263 = B^2  (\text{Max error 1.8\%})$	Earth Velocity Mars Velocity Pluto Veloc	$rac{1}{\text{city}} = 1.17 = A$
Venus VelocityCeres VelocityJupiter VelocityUranus Velocity Neptune Velocity=Earth Velocity Mars Velocity=1.239 = B(Max error 1.6 %)Saturn Velocity Uranus Velocity=1.4263 = $B^2$ (Max error 1.8 %)		(Max error 1.8%)
Venus VelocityCeres VelocityJupiter VelocityUranus Velocity Neptune Velocity=Earth Velocity Mars Velocity=1.239 = B(Max error 1.6 %)Saturn Velocity Uranus Velocity=1.4263 = $B^2$ (Max error 1.8 %)	Mercury Velocity _ Mars Velocity _ Ceres Ve	$\frac{1}{1}$ locity $-1$ $\frac{355}{2}$ $-4^2$ (Max error less 1%)
Neptune Velocity Mars Velocity $\frac{\text{Saturn Velocity}}{\text{Uranus Velocity}} = 1.4263 = B^2$ (Max error 1.8 %)	Venus Velocity Ceres Velocity Jupiter Ve	elocity
Neptune Velocity Mars Velocity $\frac{\text{Saturn Velocity}}{\text{Uranus Velocity}} = 1.4263 = B^2$ (Max error 1.8 %)		
Neptune Velocity Mars Velocity $\frac{\text{Saturn Velocity}}{\text{Uranus Velocity}} = 1.4263 = B^2$ (Max error 1.8 %)	Uranus Velocity $=$ Earth Velocity $=$ 1 239 $=$ R	(Max error 16%)
Uranus Velocity	Neptune Velocity Mars Velocity	
Uranus Velocity		
Uranus Velocity	Saturn Velocity $-1.4263 - B^2$	(Max error 18%)
$(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}) = (\mathbf{B}^2/\mathbf{A}^2) = 1.0725$ (Max error 1.3%)	Uranus Velocity	
$(\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A}) = (\mathbf{B}^2/\mathbf{A}^2) = 1.0725$ (Max error 1.3%)		
(D(11) - (D(111) - 1.0))	$(B/A) = (B^2/A^2) = 1.0725$	(Max error1.3%)

#### Note Please (2)

- Pluto orbital period 90588 days = Earth orbital Period 365.25  $(2\pi)^3$
- I conclude that, the solar group is one trajectory of Energy where Earth and Pluto are the 2 terminal points of this trajectory... so the rate  $2\pi$  is found by 2 planets for 3 times to provide the rate  $(2\pi)^3$  which makes Pluto orbital period to depend on Earth orbital period and by this way, Earth and Pluto be as 2 gears move with other by help of the other planets (other gears)....

#### **More Interesting Data**

a- Earth- Moon circumference 10921 km x 86400 seconds (the solar day seconds) = 940 mkm (Earth orbital circumference)

= 300000 km

= 300000 km

- b- Earth-Moon circumference 10921 km x 27.3 days
- c- Earth Diameter 12756 km x 23.45
  - Equation (a) tells us if Earth revolves around the sun in one day only, the moon circumference will be a distance produce by 1 second motion

#### IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME Through the Mother of God mediation I do this research

- Equation (b) tells us if the moon rotates around his axis daily, he will moves a distance = 1 second of light motion
- Equation (c) tells us that Earth diameter 12756 km and axial tilt 23.45 degrees are bon from the light motion for 1 second (300000km)

#### **A General Conclusion**

The previous data tells us that, the solar planets velocities are found by geometrical reasons behind, where each velocity depends on the others, that means there's no planet velocity is found by gravity forces... that tells us the gravity was almost a mystery....

To understand much better the previous data we need to recognize the rate 1.0725 which is created as a result of relativistic effects in the solar group...

In fact we will discuss the previous data with the total solar eclipse general discussion, which will be in a separated paper ... but here we'll discuss only one group of Data ... it's group no. 3 let's start immediately

Through the Mother of God mediation I do this research

5- The Moon Orbit Analysis proves that, The Solar Group Current Description Is Incorrect.

Ε


F


 $\mathbf{B}$ 

G

D

The moon orbit data support strongly my claim Let's see some of them...





- AB = 120536 km = (=Saturn diameter)
- CB = 449000km = (=Jupiter Circumference)
- AC =373000 km = (=Saturn Circumference)= 373000 km = (Earth Moon Distance when the moon at solar eclipse radius)

### **Details of CB**

- CD= 363000 km =(Earth Moon Distance when the moon at perigee point)
- CF= 406000 km =(Earth Moon Distance when the moon at apogee point)
- DF=FB=43000 km (distance between perigee and apogee)
- AD is Perpendicular on the base CB where AD = DB = 86000 km
- EF=GD =43000 km (DFEG is a square its dimension =43000km)
- EF is perpendicular on the base, so the angle (BEF)= 45 degrees.

All previous dimensions are found based on Pythagoras rule ... also.

- A angle = 121.67 degrees
- C angle = 13.33 degrees
- B angle = 45 degrees

## More Data:

### I- Solar Planets Diameters Total = 2 Jupiter diameters + 1 Saturn diameter

### II- Jupiter Diameter = 2 Jupiter Circumference – 2 Saturn Circumference

III- Jupiter diameter 142984 km Saturn diameter 120536 km

#### VI- CD =363000 km = Perigee radius = outer planets diameters total Discussion:

- In fact the previous triangle is hard to understand... ABC triangle is formed by values equal Saturn diameter, Saturn Circumference and Jupiter Circumference but the moon orbit main points are defined clearly by this triangle altitude and his parallel line created to divide DB into 2 parts ....where Saturn Circumference = the moon orbital radius at total solar eclipse.... !

 $2\pi$ 

 $2\pi - 1$ 

I do this research

I have tried frequently to show that there are **Geometrical Rules Behind The** Matter Creation...this is the main idea in my research ....because the current theories suppose that the planets are created from an exploded star material by gravity forces effect.... This concept is absolutely wrong because the planets matters (diameters and circumferences) can't be created by any random process... but by geometrical rules.. as we see clearly in the previous triangle...

#### **Conclusions:**

- Saturn and Jupiter Diameters are created in interaction with the moon orbit different radiuses!
- Saturn and Jupiter Diameters are created in interaction with the solar planets diameters!

#### **Deep Discussion:**

- The previous discussion aims to explain two of our main difficulties in the solar group geometry discovery...which are;
  - 1. The Matter Creation Description
  - 2. The Distance Effect

Let's discuss them in more details

### 1<sup>st</sup> The Matter Creation Description

How the matter is created? This is the historical puzzled question? To create Earth material from exploded star material that gives us nothing because the material itself isn't known.. and we don't know how this material is created and why Earth diameter =12756 km?.... so the current theory gives no explanation for the matter creation process while in fact – as we have seen – the previous triangle tells us the matter is created based on geometrical rules...

#### 2<sup>nd</sup> The Distance Effect

The gravity concept prevents us to see such geometrical rules –as the previous triangle show them- because of the distance supposed effect which we have learnt from the gravity concept... so it's impossible to have any effect from Saturn on the moon orbit because of the great distance between them...! As the gravity concept learns!

#### The Final Conclusions:

I provide here just one claim which is very clear that,

#### Saturn and Jupiter diameters are created based On Geometrical Rules and with effect of the moon orbit...

That disproves the matter random creation and the current gravity concept

Otherwise the previous triangle is found by Pure coincidences ... "reader is judge"

Through the Mother of God mediation I do this research

#### 5-2 The Moon Orbit Geometrical Structure

#### Table No.1

**"The Solar Planets Diameters Total Relationship With The Moon Orbit"** 

If Earth diameter = 12756 km, is considered to be = 1

So The Following Is Correct Error		
Earth Circumference	= Π	-
<ul> <li>Solar Inner Planets diameter total</li> </ul>	= Π	-
<ul> <li>Moon motion free space (from perigee to apogee)</li> </ul>	= Π	1.3%
(the free space without the moon diameter)		
<ul> <li>Moon Perigee orbit radius</li> </ul>	<b>= 9</b> Π	-
Solar outer planets diameters total	<b>= 9</b> Π	-
<ul> <li>Moon orbit apogee radius</li> </ul>	<b>=</b> 10 <b>Π</b>	1.2
✤ All solar planets diameters total	<b>=</b> 10 <b>Π</b>	1
✤ 2 Jupiter diameter + Saturn diameter	<b>=</b> 10 Π	-
<ul> <li>Saturn Circumference</li> </ul>	<b>=</b> 9.5 <b>Π</b>	-
= Moon orbit radius at total solar eclipse		
✤ Jupiter Circumference	<b>=</b> 11 <b>Π</b>	1.9
✤ The Sun diameter	$=1\overline{1 \Pi^2}$	1.2


#### More Data

- I. Earth Moon Distance at perigee Point = 363000 km = Solar Outer Planets Diameter Total (error 1%)
- II. Earth Moon Distance at Apogee Point = 406000 km = Solar Planets Diameter Total
- III. The moon orbital distance from perigee to apogee (free space) = 40000 km = Earth Circumference
- **IV.** Saturn Circumference = Earth Moon Distance at total solace eclipse
- V. The Sun Diameter = Jupiter Circumference x  $\pi$

#### But

#### Why We See The Sun Disc = The Moon Disc?

Through the Mother of God mediation



2<sup>nd</sup> Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow – Russia.. mrwaheid@gmail.com mrwaheid1@yahoo.com +201022532292

I do this research

#### 5-4 The Moon Orbit Geometrical Rules Discussion

(<u>1<sup>st</sup> Rule</u>) Pythagoras rule :  $R_n^2 + (86000 \text{ km})^2 = R_{n+1}^2$ (why 86000 km? we'll discuss that in this paper)

(2<sup>nd</sup> Rule) Moon Orbital Circumference at  $R_n = (1/R_{n+1})$ 

(3<sup>rd</sup> Rule) (Moon Orbital Circumference at  $R_{n+1}$ / Moon Orbital Circumference at  $R_n$ ) =1.0725

(4<sup>th</sup> Rule) The Rate 365.25

<u>**I- (1<sup>st</sup> Rule)</u>** Pythagoras rule :  $R_n^2 + (86000 \text{ km})^2 = R_{n+1}^2$ </u>

## (1<sup>st</sup> Rule) Pythagoras Rule

 $R_{n}^{2} + (86000 \text{km})^{2} = R_{n+1}^{2}$ I-Data

1) (**363000 km** = moon orbital radius "At Perigee Point")

- 2)  $(86000 \text{ km})^2 + (363000 \text{ km})^2 \text{ (perigee radius)} = (373000 \text{ km})^2 (377000 \text{ km} = \text{total solar eclipse radius error } 1\%)$
- 3)  $(86000 \text{ km})^2 + (373000 \text{ km})^2 = (384000 \text{ km})^2$ (384000 km = moon orbital average radius "registered in Nasa Fact sheet")

4) 
$$2x (86000 \text{ km})^2 + (384000)^2 = (403000 \text{ km})^2$$
  
(406000 km = moon orbital radius "at apogee point")

## Please Review

The Moon orbit Analysis

http://vixra.org/abs/1811.0422

or

https://www.academia.edu/37856075/The\_Moon\_Orbit\_Analysis

or

https://www.slideshare.net/Gergesfrancis/the-moon-orbit-analysis?qid=3ce8a78e-80cf-4304-9738-1c5722064f9f&v=&b=&from\_search=1

I do this research

#### 6- The Solar Group is One Machine Consists Of All Solar Planets.

This is my vision which I fight to prove...

In following I will provide only the general frame of this idea because the details are discussed in my different papers....

#### The Vision Hypothesis:

The research provides 5 hypothesis as following

### 1<sup>st</sup> Hypothesis

#### The Solar Group Is Created From One Energy <u>1<sup>st</sup> Hypothesis Explanation:</u>

- 1- Planet matter is created of Energy, (E=mc<sup>2</sup>) supports that
- 2- Planet Orbital Distance (Space) is Energy also
- 3- Planet matter and orbital distance both are created from the same energy (will be explained with 3<sup>rd</sup> hypothesis)
- 4- The Solar Group Is Created Of One Energy Only (the original energy)
- 5- The solar group original energy is found before any Planet matter birth
- 6- The solar group is similar to one trajectory of Energy and each planet is a point on it.
- 7- The solar group also is similar to a great river has many canals and these canals created soils on their banks..., so the river with canals and soils are of them are created from the same water (the same energy)
- 8- The solar group is similar also to a creature body has different members, where the same blood moves through these members to provide the needs.

This Hypothesis is discussed in following papers Solar Group Geometrical Structure

http://vixra.org/abs/1805.0081 and

Definition of Space (Revised) http://vixra.org/abs/1810.0307

I do this research

#### 2<sup>nd</sup> Hypothesis

### The Solar Group Is One Geometrical Structure

#### **2<sup>nd</sup> Hypothesis explanation:**

- 1- The solar group original energy depends on geometrical rules (will be explained with 4<sup>th</sup> hypothesis)
- 2- Theses geometrical rules form together one geometrical structure
- 3- The solar planets form together one geometrical structure. Each planet is a part of this same geometrical structure and performs a specific job or contribution for this same geometrical structure. So all solar planets cooperate and integrate together to produce this one geometrical structure.
- 4- The geometrical structure which is produced by the solar planets cooperation and integration is the same geometrical structure which is produced by the original energy geometrical rules. The original energy motion can be similar to a painting drawn by a pencil, then the planets matters are created to move in the same trajectory which can be similar to colours for this same painting but the picture is the same (the motion trajectory is the same).
- 5- Each planet data is created to be suitable for this planet role or contribution in the general geometrical structure.
- 6- There's no absent planet, that means the general geometrical structure is a complete by the found 10 planets (because the original energy created planets enough for the general geometrical structure)
- 7- Based on that, Solar Planets Data is in harmony with each other (and complementary to each other)
- 8- The planet motion depends on the planet data and not on the gravity
- 9- Based on the solar planets data general harmony, the planets motions general harmony is produced. And based on the planets motions general harmony, Kepler 3<sup>rd</sup> law is produced.
- 10- The general geometrical structure aims to pass the energy through the solar group (the energy passing through the solar group is similar to the blood passing through the creature body to provide the required energy)

This Hypothesis is discussed in following papers

Kepler 3rd Law Explanation (a Claim Against Nobel Prize Board Decision in Physics 2018)

http://vixra.org/abs/1810.0053

Kepler 3rd Law Explanation (Part No.2) (To Support my Claim Against Nobel Prize Board Decision in Physics 2018) http://vixra.org/abs/1810.0485

#### 3<sup>rd</sup> Hypothesis

## The Planet mass and orbital distance is created from the same energy (Theory of Matter Creation)

#### **<u>3<sup>rd</sup> Hypothesis explanation:</u>**

- 1- In Young Experiment (Double Slit Experiment), the light coherence produces bright fringes and dark fringes
- 2- We see the bright fringes as matters (masses)
- 3- We see the dark fringes as distances (Space)
- 4- This explains the planet diameter and orbital distance relationships.

Why we see the bright fringes as matters? This will be answered in the following hypothesis.. This Hypothesis is discussed in following papers

Why The Light Is The Universe Highest Velocity?

http://vixra.org/abs/1801.0369

and

Special Relativity Hypothesis Reason (Matter Creation Theory) http://vixra.org/abs/1801.0343

## 4<sup>th</sup> Hypothesis

The solar group moves based on a physical law and not in chaos because of the human mind effect on the universe (the planet motion and human knowledge relationship)

#### **4<sup>th</sup> Hypothesis explanation:**

- 1- The human mind contains electromagnetic waves (may travel by c velocity)
- 2- I suppose the realization process in human mind works by c velocity
- 3- Special Theory of Relativity tells us that, if there's a difference in velocity =c between 2 observers each one will see the other as light beam... but if both observers moves by c velocity there's no difference in velocity so both of them will see the other as a matter
- 4- That's why we see the bright fringes as matters because our minds works by this same velocity..
- 5- That answers why the planet moves by a physical law and no in chaos... because the matter in the universe is created as an effect of the human mind on the universe.

**Note Please:** the electric pulses moves slowly in the human body liquids because the light moves slowly in the liquids, but the light will restore his velocity in vacuum... which proves there's a motion with c velocity inside the human mind.. (Note also, the realization process is the responsible for vision, that tells the effect on vision in fact is effect on realization process!)

This Hypothesis is discussed in following papers

The Observer Effect On The Observation Results (Space = Energy=mc2) <u>http://vixra.org/abs/1810.0343</u>

I the Would of Oou mean I do this research

## 5<sup>th</sup> Hypothesis

#### Earth Moon is The Solar Group Energy Motion indicator

#### 5<sup>th</sup> Hypothesis explanation:

- 1- The Solar Group Energy is reflected inside the moon orbit (to concentrate the energy)
- 2- The reflected energy in the moon orbit can be similar to a sea of water and the moon is a paper boat. That's why the moon is the energy motion indicator
- 3- The solar group energy is reflected in the moon obit with small values (shorter distances) because of lorentz length contraction effect
- 4- There are relativistic effects in the solar group which enable the solar group whole energy to be reflected in the moon obit to concentrate the energy
- 5- We can't observe the high velocity which produces the relativistic effects but we can observe the relativistic effects in the planets different data
- 6- The relativistic effects cause the distances values to be seen in time values and vice versa...

This Hypothesis is discussed in following papers

The Moon Orbit Analysis http://vixra.org/abs/1811.0422

The Moon Geometry http://vixra.org/abs/1811.0389

#### The Conclusions

- 1- The Solar Planets motions trajectory is a pyramid
- 2- The planet motion trajectory is a square and not an ellipse
- 3- The energy concentration in the moon orbit aims to produce the sun rays where the sun rays are produced on the moon orbit energy

These Conclusions are discussed in following papers

The Solar Group Geometrical Structure is A Pyramid (Revised) http://vixra.org/abs/1811.0060

Solar Planet Motion Trajectory Is A Square And Not An Ellipse <u>http://vixra.org/abs/1811.0135</u>

#### IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME Through the Mother of God mediation I do this research

**Gerges Francis Twadrous Curriculum Vitae** 

http://vixra.org/abs/1902.0044

or

https://www.academia.edu/38285624/Gerges\_Francis\_Tawdrous\_Curriculum\_Vitae\_.doc or

https://www.slideshare.net/Gergesfrancis/gerges-francis-tawdrous-curriculum-vitae

#### **Author Other Papers**

Does Earth Velocity Causes The Eclipse Phenomena? (T.S. Eclipse III) http://vixra.org/abs/1903.0489

Why We See the Sun Disc = the Moon Disc? (T.S. Eclipse II) http://vixra.org/abs/1903.0322

Total Solar Eclipse Analysis (Part I) http://vixra.org/abs/1903.0091

What's The Solar Planet Nature? http://vixra.org/abs/1903.0038

Planet Orbital Eccentricity Disproves the Solar Group Current Description http://vixra.org/abs/1902.0501

Why The Solar Planet Motion Trajectory is An Ellipse?(revised) http://vixra.org/abs/1902.0291

Solar Planet Data Geometrical Concepts disproves the Current Theory http://vixra.org/abs/1902.0230

The Relativistic Effects Reason http://vixra.org/abs/1902.0097

Life Is Found On Earth Only (Claim) http://vixra.org/abs/1901.0422

Is the 2737 Phenomenon a Real One? http://vixra.org/abs/1901.0381

Vacuum Energy Theory http://vixra.org/abs/1901.0163

The Moon Orbit Triangle http://vixra.org/abs/1901.0082

#### +201022532292**Gerges Francis Tawdrous**

Curriculum Vitae	http://vixra.org/abs/1902.0044
E-mail	mrwaheid@gmail.com
Linkedln	https://eg.linkedin.com/in/gerges-francis-86a351a1
Twitter	https://twitter.com/TawdrousF
Facebook	https://www.facebook.com/gergis.tawadrous
Academia	https://rudn.academia.edu/GergesTawadrous
All my papers	http://vixra.org/author/gerges_francis_tawdrousBest

Gerges Francis Tawadrous/ 16 2<sup>nd</sup> Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow – Russia... mrwaheid1@yahoo.com mrwaheid@gmail.com +201022532292