The very true theoretical ultimate algorithm for quantum computers

Koji Nagata¹ and Tadao Nakamura²

¹Department of Physics, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejeon 34141, Korea

E-mail: ko_mi_na@yahoo.co.jp

²Department of Information and Computer Science, Keio University,

3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan

(Dated: March 31, 2019)

Here, we propose a new type of quantum algorithm for determining the 2^N values of a function. By measuring the single output state, we determine all the values of f(x) for all x simultaneously. This is very interesting indeed: the quantum circuit gives us the ability to determine a perfect property of f(x), namely, f(x). This is faster than a classical apparatus by a factor of 2^N .

PACS numbers: 03.67.Ac, 03.67.Lx Keywords: Quantum algorithms, Quantum computation

I. INTRODUCTION

Articles on the history of research into quantum computing [1] are mentioned as follows: An implementation of a quantum algorithm to solve Deutsch's problem [2–4] on a nuclear magnetic resonance quantum computer is reported [5]. An implementation of the Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm on an ion-trap quantum computer is reported [6]. Oliveira *et al.* implements Deutsch's algorithm with polarization and transverse spatial modes of the electromagnetic field as qubits [7]. Single-photon Bell states are prepared and measured [8]. The decoherence-free implementation of Deutsch's algorithm is introduced by using such a single-photon and by using two logical qubits [9]. A one-way based experimental implementation of Deutsch's algorithm is reported [10].

In 1993, the Bernstein-Vazirani algorithm was published [11, 12]. In 1994, Simon's algorithm [13] and Shor's algorithm [14] were discussed. In 1996, Grover [15] provided the motivation for exploring the computational possibilities offered by quantum mechanics. An implementation of a quantum algorithm to solve the Bernstein-Vazirani parity problem without entanglement in an ensemble quantum computer is mentioned [16]. Fiber-optics implementation of the Deutsch-Jozsa and Bernstein-Vazirani quantum algorithms with three qubits is discussed [17]. The question whether or not quantum learning is robust against noise is a subject of a study [18].

A quantum algorithm for approximating the influences of Boolean functions and its applications are studied [19]. Quantum computation with coherent spin states and the close Hadamard problem are reported [20]. Transport implementation of the Bernstein-Vazirani algorithm with ion qubits is studied [21]. Quantum Gauss-Jordan elimination and simulation of accounting principles on quantum computers are discussed [22]. The dynamical analysis of Grover's search algorithm in arbitrarily high-dimensional search spaces is studied [23]. The relation between quantum computer and secret sharing with the use of quantum principles is discussed [24]. An application of quantum Gauss-Jordan elimination code to quantum secret sharing code is studied [25]. Designing quantum circuit by one step method and similarity with neural network are discussed. [26].

There are many researches concerning quantum computing, quantum algorithm, and their experiments. However, a complete understanding of a fundamental structure of quantum computing is not given.

In this contribution, we propose a new type of quantum algorithm for determining the 2^N values of a function. By measuring the single output state, we determine all the values of f(x) for all x simultaneously. This is very interesting indeed: the quantum circuit gives us the ability to determine a perfect property of f(x), namely, f(x). This is faster than a classical apparatus by a factor of 2^N .

II. A NEW TYPE OF QUANTUM ALGORITHM FOR DETERMINING THE 2^1 VALUES OF A FUNCTION

Our discussion is based on Nielsen and Chuang [27]. Quantum superposition is a fundamental feature of many quantum algorithms. It allows quantum computers to evaluate the values of a function f(x) for many different x simultaneously. Suppose

$$f: \{0,1\} \to \{0,1\} \tag{1}$$

is a function with a one-bit domain and range. A convenient way of computing the function on a quantum computer is to consider a two-qubit quantum computer that starts with the state $|x, y\rangle$. With an appropriate sequence of logic gates, it is possible to transform this state into

$$|x, y \oplus f(x)\rangle,$$
 (2)

where \oplus indicates addition modulo 2. We denote by U_f the transformation defined by the map

$$U_f: |x,y\rangle \to |x,y \oplus f(x)\rangle. \tag{3}$$

Here, the input state is as follows:

$$\begin{split} |\psi_{0}\rangle &= \alpha|0\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + \beta|1\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right],\\ &(\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2} = 1). \end{split}$$
(4)

We have the following formula:

$$U_{f}|0\rangle(|0\rangle - i|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \to +|0\rangle(|f(0)\rangle - i|\overline{f(0)}\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$$

$$= \begin{cases} (-i)^{f(0)}|0\rangle(|0\rangle - i|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } f(0) = 0, \\ (-i)^{f(0)}|0\rangle(|0\rangle + i|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } f(0) = 1. \end{cases}$$

$$U_{f}|1\rangle(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \to +|1\rangle(|f(1)\rangle - |\overline{f(1)}\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$$

$$= \begin{cases} (-1)^{f(1)}|1\rangle(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } f(1) = 0, \\ (-1)^{f(1)}|1\rangle(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } f(1) = 1. \end{cases}$$
(6)

Applying U_f to $|\psi_0\rangle$ therefore leaves us with one of 2^{2^1} possibilities:

$$|\psi_{1}\rangle = \begin{cases} \alpha|0\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + \beta|1\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] \\ \text{if } f(0) = 0, f(1) = 0, \\ -i\alpha|0\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle + i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - \beta|1\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] \\ \text{if } f(0) = 1, f(1) = 1, \\ \alpha|0\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - \beta|1\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] \\ \text{if } f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1, \\ -i\alpha|0\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle + i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + \beta|1\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] \\ \text{if } f(0) = 1, f(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(7)

So, by measuring $|\psi_1\rangle$, we may determine all the values of f(x) for all x simultaneously. This is very interesting indeed: the quantum circuit gives us the ability to determine a perfect property of f(x), namely, f(x). This is faster than a classical apparatus, which would require at least 2^1 evaluations.

III. A NEW TYPE OF QUANTUM ALGORITHM FOR DETERMINING THE 2^2 VALUES OF A FUNCTION

We propose a quantum algorithm for determining the 2^2 values of a function.

Quantum superposition is a fundamental feature of many quantum algorithms. It allows quantum computers to evaluate the values of a function f(x) for many different x simultaneously. Suppose

$$f: \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \to \{0, 1\} \tag{8}$$

is a function.

Here, the input state is as follows:

$$|\psi_{0}\rangle = a_{1}|00\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{2}|01\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{3}|10\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{4}|11\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right],$$

$$(a_{1}^{2} + a_{2}^{2} + a_{3}^{2} + a_{4}^{2} = 1).$$

$$(9)$$

We have the following formula:

$$U_{f}|00\rangle(|0\rangle - i|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \to +|00\rangle(|f(00)\rangle - i|\overline{f(00)}\rangle)/\sqrt{2} = \begin{cases} (-i)^{f(00)}|00\rangle(|0\rangle - i|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } f(00) = 0, \\ (-i)^{f(00)}|00\rangle(|0\rangle + i|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } f(00) = 1. \end{cases}$$
(10)

$$U_{f}|01\rangle(|0\rangle - i|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \to +|01\rangle(|f(01)\rangle - i|\overline{f(01)}\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \\ = \begin{cases} (-i)^{f(01)}|01\rangle(|0\rangle - i|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } f(01) = 0, \\ (-i)^{f(01)}|01\rangle(|0\rangle + i|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } f(01) = 1. \end{cases}$$
(11)

$$U_{f}|10\rangle(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \to +|10\rangle(|f(10)\rangle - |\overline{f(10)}\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \\ = \begin{cases} (-1)^{f(10)}|10\rangle(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } f(10) = 0, \\ (-1)^{f(10)}|10\rangle(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } f(10) = 1. \end{cases}$$
(12)

$$U_{f}|11\rangle(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \to +|11\rangle(|f(11)\rangle - |\overline{f(11)}\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \\ = \begin{cases} (-1)^{f(11)}|11\rangle(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } f(11) = 0, \\ (-1)^{f(11)}|11\rangle(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } f(11) = 1. \end{cases}$$
(13)

Applying U_f to $|\psi_0\rangle$, $U_f|\psi_0\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle$, therefore leaves us with one of 2^{2^2} possibilities:

$$a_{1}|00\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{2}|01\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{3}|10\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{4}|11\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$$

if $f(00) = 0, f(01) = 0, f(10) = 0, f(11) = 0,$ (14)

$$-ia_{1}|00\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle+i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{2}|01\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{3}|10\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{4}|11\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$$

if $f(00) = 1, f(01) = 0, f(10) = 0, f(11) = 0,$ (15)

$$a_{1}|00\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - ia_{2}|01\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle + i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{3}|10\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{4}|11\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$$

if $f(00) = 0, f(01) = 1, f(10) = 0, f(11) = 0,$ (16)

$$a_{1}|00\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{2}|01\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - a_{3}|10\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{4}|11\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$$

if $f(00) = 0, f(01) = 0, f(10) = 1, f(11) = 0,$ (17)

$$a_{1}|00\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{2}|01\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{3}|10\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - a_{4}|11\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$$

if $f(00) = 0, f(01) = 0, f(10) = 0, f(11) = 1,$ (18)

$$-ia_{1}|00\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle+i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - ia_{2}|01\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle+i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{3}|10\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{4}|11\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$$

if $f(00) = 1, f(01) = 1, f(10) = 0, f(11) = 0,$ (19)

$$-ia_{1}|00\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle+i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{2}|01\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - a_{3}|10\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{4}|11\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$$

if $f(00) = 1, f(01) = 0, f(10) = 1, f(11) = 0,$ (20)

$$-ia_{1}|00\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle+i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{2}|01\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{3}|10\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - a_{4}|11\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$$

if $f(00) = 1, f(01) = 0, f(10) = 0, f(11) = 1,$ (21)

$$a_{1}|00\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - ia_{2}|01\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle + i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - a_{3}|10\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{4}|11\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$$

if $f(00) = 0, f(01) = 1, f(10) = 1, f(11) = 0,$ (22)

$$a_{1}|00\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - ia_{2}|01\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle + i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{3}|10\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - a_{4}|11\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$$

if $f(00) = 0, f(01) = 1, f(10) = 0, f(11) = 1,$ (23)

$$a_{1}|00\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{2}|01\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - a_{3}|10\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - a_{4}|11\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$$

if $f(00) = 0, f(01) = 0, f(10) = 1, f(11) = 1,$ (24)

$$a_{1}|00\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - ia_{2}|01\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle + i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - a_{3}|10\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - a_{4}|11\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$$

if $f(00) = 0, f(01) = 1, f(10) = 1, f(11) = 1,$ (25)

$$-ia_{1}|00\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle+i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{2}|01\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - a_{3}|10\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - a_{4}|11\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$$

if $f(00) = 1, f(01) = 0, f(10) = 1, f(11) = 1,$ (26)

$$-ia_{1}|00\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle+i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - ia_{2}|01\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle+i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{3}|10\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - a_{4}|11\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$$

if $f(00) = 1, f(01) = 1, f(10) = 0, f(11) = 1,$ (27)

$$-ia_{1}|00\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle+i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - ia_{2}|01\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle+i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - a_{3}|10\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + a_{4}|11\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$$

if $f(00) = 1, f(01) = 1, f(10) = 1, f(11) = 0,$ (28)

$$-ia_{1}|00\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle+i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - ia_{2}|01\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle+i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - a_{3}|10\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] - a_{4}|11\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$$

if $f(00) = 1, f(01) = 1, f(10) = 1, f(11) = 1.$ (29)

So, by measuring $|\psi_1\rangle$, we may determine all the values of f(x) for all x simultaneously. This is very interesting indeed: the quantum circuit gives us the ability to determine a perfect property of f(x), namely, f(x). This is faster than a classical apparatus, which would require at least 2^2 evaluations.

IV. A NEW TYPE OF QUANTUM ALGORITHM FOR DETERMINING THE 2^N VALUES OF A FUNCTION

We propose a quantum algorithm for determining the 2^N values of a function.

Quantum superposition is a fundamental feature of many quantum algorithms. It allows quantum computers to evaluate the values of a function f(x) for many different x simultaneously. Suppose

$$f: \{0, 1, ..., 2^N - 1\} \to \{0, 1\}$$
(30)

is a function.

Here, the input state is as follows:

$$|\psi_{0}\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{2^{(N-1)}-1} a_{j}|j\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - i|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + \sum_{k=2^{(N-1)}}^{2^{N}-1} a_{k}|k\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right],$$
$$(a_{0}^{2} + a_{1}^{2} + \dots + a_{2^{N}-1}^{2} = 1).$$
(31)

Applying U_f to $|\psi_0\rangle$, $U_f|\psi_0\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle$, therefore leaves us with one of 2^{2^N} possibilities:

$$|\psi_1\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{2^{(N-1)}-1} (-i)^{f(j)} a_j |j\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - (-i)^{f(j)}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right] + \sum_{k=2^{(N-1)}}^{2^N-1} (-1)^{f(k)} a_k |k\rangle \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right].$$
(32)

So, by measuring $|\psi_1\rangle$, we may determine all the values of f(x) for all x simultaneously. This is very interesting indeed: the quantum circuit gives us the ability to determine a perfect property of f(x), namely, f(x). This is faster than a classical apparatus, which would require at least 2^N evaluations.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have proposed a new type of quantum algorithm for determining the 2^N values of a function. By measuring the single output state, we have determined all the values of f(x) for all x simultaneously. This has been very interesting indeed: the quantum circuit has given us the ability to determine a perfect property of f(x), namely, f(x). This has been faster than a classical apparatus by a factor of 2^N .

NOTE

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

- [1] R. Rennie (Editor), Oxford dictionary of physics (Oxford University Press, 2015), Seventh ed.
- [2] D. Deutsch, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 400, 97 (1985).
- [3] D. Deutsch and R. Jozsa, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 439, 553 (1992).
- [4] R. Cleve, A. Ekert, C. Macchiavello, and M. Mosca, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 454, 339 (1998).
- [5] J. A. Jones and M. Mosca, J. Chem. Phys. 109, 1648 (1998).
- [6] S. Gulde, M. Riebe, G. P. T. Lancaster, C. Becher, J. Eschner, H. Häffner, F. Schmidt-Kaler, I. L. Chuang, and R. Blatt, Nature (London) 421, 48 (2003).
- [7] A. N. de Oliveira, S. P. Walborn, and C. H. Monken, J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 7, 288-292 (2005).
- [8] Y.-H. Kim, Phys. Rev. A 67, 040301(R) (2003).
- [9] M. Mohseni, J. S. Lundeen, K. J. Resch, and A. M. Steinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 187903 (2003).
- [10] M. S. Tame, R. Prevedel, M. Paternostro, P. Böhi, M. S. Kim, and A. Zeilinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 140501 (2007).
- [11] E. Bernstein and U. Vazirani, Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC '93), pp. 11-20 (1993).
- [12] E. Bernstein and U. Vazirani, SIAM J. Comput. 26-5, pp. 1411-1473 (1997).
- [13] D. R. Simon, Foundations of Computer Science, (1994) Proceedings., 35th Annual Symposium on: 116-123, retrieved 2011-06-06.
- [14] P. W. Shor, Proceedings of the 35th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science. 124 (1994).
- [15] L. K. Grover, Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing. 212 (1996).
- [16] J. Du, M. Shi, X. Zhou, Y. Fan, B. J. Ye, R. Han, and J. Wu, Phys. Rev. A 64, 042306 (2001).
- [17] E. Brainis, L.-P. Lamoureux, N. J. Cerf, Ph. Emplit, M. Haelterman, and S. Massar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 157902 (2003).
- [18] A. W. Cross, G. Smith, and J. A. Smolin, Phys. Rev. A 92, 012327 (2015).
- [19] H. Li and L. Yang, Quantum Inf. Process. 14, 1787 (2015).
- [20] M. R. A. Adcock, P. Hoyer, and B. C. Sanders, Quantum Inf. Process. 15, 1361 (2016).
- [21] S. D. Fallek, C. D. Herold, B. J. McMahon, K. M. Maller, K. R. Brown, and J. M. Amini, New J. Phys. 18, 083030 (2016).
- [22] D. N. Diep, D. H. Giang, and N. Van Minh, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 56, 1948 (2017).
- [23] W. Jin, Quantum Inf. Process. 15, 65 (2016).
- [24] D. N. Diep and D. H. Giang, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 56, 2797 (2017).
- [25] D. N. Diep, D. H. Giang, and P. H. Phu, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 57, 841 (2018).
- [26] G. Resconi and K. Nagata, International Journal of General Engineering and Technology, Vol. 7, Issue 1 (2018) Page 1 20.
- [27] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information (Cambridge University Press, 2000).