## The Irrationality and Transcendence of e Connected

## Timothy W. Jones

Using the techniques of a proof of e's transcendence given in Herstein's Topics in Algebra [2], Beatty and Jones gave a proof of the irrationality of  $e^n$ , n a positive integer [1]. The mean value theorem is used in both proofs. In this article we show how the mean value theorem can be avoided in favor of a simpler approach that yields a nice path from the irrationality of  $e^n$  to e's transcendence.

In what follows, x is a real number, all polynomials are integer polynomials, and p is a prime.

**Definition 1.** Given a polynomial f(x), lowercase, the sum of all its derivatives is designated with F(x), uppercase.

**Definition 2.** For non-negative integers n, let  $\epsilon_n(x)$  denote the infinite series

$$\frac{x}{n+1} + \frac{x^2}{(n+1)(n+2)} + \dots + \frac{x^j}{(n+1)(n+2)\dots(n+j)} + \dots$$

Lemma 1. If  $f(x) = cx^n$ , then

$$F(0)e^x = F(x) + \epsilon, \tag{1}$$

where  $\epsilon$  has polynomial growth in n.

Proof. As 
$$F(x) = c(x^n + nx^{n-1} + \dots + n!)$$
,  $F(0) = cn!$ . Thus,  
 $F(0)e^x = cn!(1 + x/1 + x^2/2! + \dots + x^n/n! + \dots)$   
 $= cx^n + cnx^{(n-1)} + \dots + cn! + cx^{n+1}/(n+1)! + \dots$   
 $= F(x) + cx^n(x/(n+1) + x^2/(n+1)(n+2) + \dots)$   
 $= F(x) + f(x)\epsilon_n(x).$ 

Now f(x) has polynomial growth in n and  $\epsilon_n(x) \leq e^x$ , so the product has polynomial growth in n.

**Lemma 2.** If F is the sum of the derivatives of the polynomial  $f(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_nx^n$  of degree n, then

$$e^x F(0) = F(x) + \epsilon, \tag{2}$$

where  $\epsilon$  has polynomial growth in the degree of f.

*Proof.* Let  $f_j(x) = c_j x^j$ , for  $0 \le j \le n$ . Using the derivative of the sum is the sum of the derivatives,

$$F = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (f_0 + f_1 + \dots + f_n)^{(k)} = F_0 + F_1 + \dots + F_n,$$

where  $F_j$  is the sum of the derivatives of  $f_j$ . Using Lemma 1,

$$e^{x}F_{k}(0) = F_{k}(x) + f_{k}(x)\epsilon_{k}(x)$$
(3)

and summing (3) from k = 0 to n, gives

$$e^{x}F(0) = F(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} f_{k}(x)\epsilon_{k}(x).$$

As the finite sum of functions with polynomial growth in n also has polynomial growth in n, we arrive at (2).

**Lemma 3.** Let  $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$  be a polynomial with integer root r of multiplicity p, then p!|F(r).

*Proof.* Suppose r = 0, then  $f(x) = x^p(b_j x^j + \dots + b_0)$  and the 0 through p-1 derivatives of f(x) will have r = 0 as a root. The *p*th derivative through the (n-p)th derivative will have p! in each coefficient. This shows p!|F(r) when r = 0.

If  $r \neq 0$ , then  $f(x) = (x - r)^p Q(x)$ , where Q(x) is a polynomial. Define  $g(x) = f(x + r) = x^p Q(x + r)$ . Then  $g^{(k)}(0) = f^{(k)}(r)$  for all  $k \ge 0$ , where k superscripts give derivatives. The same argument used for the r = 0 case applies.

**Lemma 4.** Let polynomial f(x) have root r = 0 of multiplicity p - 1 then, for large enough  $p, p \nmid F(0)$ .

*Proof.* We can write  $f(x) = x^{p-1}(b_j x^j + \dots + b_0)$  then the p-1 derivative is  $(p-1)!b_0$  and all subsequent derivatives have p! in all their terms. Now if  $p > b_0$ , then  $p \nmid F(0)$ .

**Lemma 5.** If a and b are integers and p is a prime, p > a, then a(p-1)!+bp! is a non-zero integer divisible by (p-1)!.

*Proof.* Suppose, to obtain a contradiction, that a(p-1)! + bp! = 0. Then p|a or p|(p-1)!, a contradiction. Clearly, (p-1)!|p!.

**Theorem 1.** For positive, non-zero rational r,  $e^r$  is irrational.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove that  $e^n$ , n a natural number is irrational. Suppose not, suppose  $e^n = a/b$  with a, b natural numbers a > b. Define  $f(x) = x^{p-1}(x-n)^p$ . Then, using Lemmas 2,  $e^n F(0) = F(n) + \epsilon$  and this implies  $aF(0) - bF(n) = b\epsilon$ . Dividing by (p-1)! gives

$$\frac{aF(0) - bF(n)}{(p-1)!} = \frac{b\epsilon}{(p-1)!}.$$
(4)

If p is sufficiently large, (4), using Lemmas 3, 4, and 5, gives an absolute value of the left hand side that is at least 1 while the absolute value of the right hand side is less than 1, a contradiction.

**Theorem 2.** *e is transcendental.* 

*Proof.* A number is transcendental if it doesn't solve an integer polynomial. Suppose e solves an nth degree integer polynomial, then

 $0 = c_n e^n + c_{n-1} e^{n-1} + \dots + c_0.$ 

Define  $f_n(x) = x^{p-1}[(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-n)]^p$ ; and, using the above lemmas,

$$0 = F(0)(c_n e^n + c_{n-1} e^{n-1} + \dots + c_0) = c_0 F(0) + \sum_{k=1}^n c_k F(k) + \epsilon,$$

giving a contradiction for large enough p.

## References

- [1] T. Beatty and T.W. Jones, A Simple Proof that  $e^{p/q}$  is Irrational, Math. Magazine, 87, (2014) 50–51.
- [2] I. N. Herstein, *Topics in Algebra*, 2nd ed., John Wiley, New York, 1975.